

ARTICLE

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-27891-4

OPEN



Cosmogenic radionuclides reveal an extreme solar particle storm near a solar minimum 9125 years BP

Chiara I. Paleari[®] ^{1⊠}, Florian Mekhaldi[®] ^{1,2}, Florian Adolphi[®] ³, Marcus Christl[®] ⁴, Christof Vockenhuber⁴, Philip Gautschi⁴, Jürg Beer[®] ⁵, Nicolas Brehm⁴, Tobias Erhardt[®] ^{3,6}, Hans-Arno Synal⁴, Lukas Wacker⁴, Frank Wilhelms^{3,7} & Raimund Muscheler[®] ¹

During solar storms, the Sun expels large amounts of energetic particles (SEP) that can react with the Earth's atmospheric constituents and produce cosmogenic radionuclides such as ¹⁴C, ¹⁰Be and ³⁶Cl. Here we present ¹⁰Be and ³⁶Cl data measured in ice cores from Greenland and Antarctica. The data consistently show one of the largest ¹⁰Be and ³⁶Cl production peaks detected so far, most likely produced by an extreme SEP event that hit Earth 9125 years BP (before present, i.e., before 1950 CE), i.e., 7176 BCE. Using the ³⁶Cl/¹⁰Be ratio, we demonstrate that this event was characterized by a very hard energy spectrum and was possibly up to two orders of magnitude larger than any SEP event during the instrumental period. Furthermore, we provide ¹⁰Be-based evidence that, contrary to expectations, the SEP event occurred near a solar minimum.

¹Department of Geology – Quaternary Sciences, Lund University, 22362 Lund, Sweden. ² British Antarctic Survey, Ice Dynamics and Paleoclimate, Cambridge CB3 0ET, UK. ³ Alfred-Wegener-Institut Helmholtz-Zentrum für Polar- und Meeresforschung, 27570 Bremerhaven, Germany. ⁴ Laboratory of Ion Beam Physics, ETH Zürich, 8093 Zürich, Switzerland. ⁵ Department of Surface Waters, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology, 8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland. ⁶ Climate and Environmental Physics, Physics Institute and Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research, University of Bern, 3012 Bern, Switzerland. ⁷ Department of Crystallography, Geoscience Centre, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany.

S olar energetic particle (SEP) events occur when abrupt eruptive events on the surface of the Sun, such as coronal mass ejections (CMEs) and solar flares, accelerate particles into the interplanetary medium. These particles – mostly protons – can eventually reach the Earth guided by the heliospheric magnetic field lines.

In the last decades, great attention has been dedicated to solar storms due to the high vulnerability of our modern society to such events. SEP events can, in fact, have serious repercussions on communication and power systems, satellite life expectancy and aircraft operations. For instance, during the so-called "Halloween storms" of 2003, parts of Europe were left without electricity for several hours, and transformers in South Africa were permanently damaged, with enormous costs for society¹. In addition, the life of astronauts in space could be endangered due to high radiation exposure connected to SEPs. For example, if an Apollo mission had flown during the SEP event of August 1972, the amount of radiation experienced by the astronauts would have led to severe, possibly even lethal, consequences². The Apollo missions flew in April and December of the same year.

Furthermore, SEPs have been shown to have an impact on the atmosphere and, for example, trigger ozone depletion³⁻⁵, with possible effects on climate^{6,7}.

Before the advent of spaceborne measurements to monitor the fluxes of protons in the 1960s, instrumental observation of SEP events has been carried out since the 1950s with neutron monitors. To go further back in time, it is possible to rely on proxy data, such as cosmogenic radionuclides from ice cores and tree rings. Cosmogenic radionuclides, such as ^{14}C , ^{36}Cl , and ^{10}Be , are produced within the Earth's atmosphere – mainly in the stratosphere - as a result of the interactions of galactic cosmic rays (GCR) with its constituents and are modulated by the solar and the Earth's magnetic fields. The enhanced flux of relatively lower energy particles during a SEP event can trigger additional production of cosmogenic radionuclides, leaving an imprint in environmental archives.

The strength of SEP events is commonly quantified by the fluence of particles above 30 MeV (F₃₀), that is the integrated flux of particles with kinetic energy above 30 MeV per unit area. This, together with the spectral hardness - which is the proportion of higher-energy protons (>200 MeV) compared to lower-energy protons (>30 MeV) - provides a measure to characterize the events. Some of these events possess sufficient fluxes of high-energy protons (>0.5 GeV) to reach ground-based instruments such as neutron monitors, and are called ground level-enhancements (GLE). To date, GLE no.5 of February 1956 is considered to be the largest hard event detected by groundbased methods, with a F_{30} of 1.42×10^9 protons/cm^{2 8,9}, and it is estimated to have caused an increase of about 5% in the global ¹⁰Be production rate^{10,11} compared to the annual GCR-induced production. However, GLE no.5 has not left any significant imprint in ¹⁰Be measured in firn cores¹²⁻¹⁴, not even in seasonal data from Greenland¹⁵ likely due to the inherent weather/deposition noise in the data and measurement uncertainties.

So far, three events have been detected unambiguously in ${}^{10}\text{Be}$, ${}^{36}\text{Cl}$, and ${}^{14}\text{C}$ records – in 774/5 CE ${}^{16-18}$, 993/4 CE 16,19,20 and 660 BCE ${}^{21-23}$. The 774/5 CE event is the largest SEP event described so far, with a F₃₀ estimated to be one order of magnitude larger than the largest GLE on record (GLE no.5 – 1956-02-23). The results presented by Mekhaldi et al. 16 and O'Hare et al. 21 , indicate that the discovered events were significantly larger than the SEP events detected since the 1950s, thus implying a so far underestimated threat to our society.

Here we present high-resolution ¹⁰Be and ³⁶Cl data from the NGRIP (Northern Greenland Ice core Project, 75°6′N, 42°19′W, 2917 m a.s.l.) ice core at 1-year and 4-year resolution, respectively. ¹⁰Be was also measured at sub-annual resolution in the EGRIP

(Eastern Greenland Ice core Project, 75°38'N, 36°00'W, 2704 m a.s.l.) ice core. Furthermore, lower resolution ¹⁰Be data from the GRIP (Greenland Ice core Project, 72°34'N, 37°37'W, 3029 m a.s.l., ~6 years resolution) and the EDML (EPICA Dronning Maud Land in Antarctica, 79°00'S, 0°04'E, 2892 m a.s.l., ~5 years resolution) ice cores are also presented. All records support the occurrence of an extreme SEP event around 9125 years BP (before present, i.e., before 1950 CE), i.e., 7176 BCE, that induced one of the largest short-term ¹⁰Be increase detected so far. We include ¹⁴C production data²⁴ that, besides supporting our evidence of the occurrence of the SEP event, provide a chronological marker useful to synchronize the dating of the ice core and tree ring timescales. We further discuss the occurrence of the event within the 11-year solar cycle.

Results and discussion

¹⁰Be and ³⁶Cl data. ¹⁰Be concentrations from the NGRIP, EDML, GRIP and EGRIP ice cores and ³⁶Cl concentrations from the NGRIP ice core are displayed in Fig. 1. The data are plotted on the Greenland Ice core Time Scale (GICC05) with an adjustment of -54 years according to Adolphi and Muscheler²⁵ (see Methods section). All the records show a sharp peak around 9125 years BP, in agreement with ¹⁴C production data²⁴. The dashed lines in Fig. 1 represent the average concentration of ¹⁰Be and ³⁶Cl over the displayed time windows (50 years for GRIP, EDML and EGRIP, ~40 years for NGRIP). This baseline concentration was calculated excluding the values of the peak (highest value for low-resolution GRIP and EDML records and values exceeding 2σ of the baseline around the highest value for high-resolution NGRIP and EGRIP records), thus considered to represent only the regular production rate changes, transport/ depositional variability, and measurement scatter. The uncertainty of the baseline is calculated as the standard deviation of the data before and after the peak and also includes the measurement errors. The increase in radionuclide concentrations, which we consider to be solely caused by the production event, is determined for each record as the time-integrated ¹⁰Be and ³⁶Cl concentration exceeding their respective baselines (integrated enhancement, represented by the colored area in Fig. 1). Here we consider only the relative enhancements. This approach is more robust as the absolute deposition (and increase) depends on a variety of factors that cannot be reliably quantified at the moment. These include, for example, spatial differences in the transport and deposition of ¹⁰Be²⁶. The integrated enhancements were then used to calculate the enhancement factors (integrated enhancement divided by the baseline), i.e., relating the increased radionuclide deposition to the annual average radionuclide deposition before and after the event. In doing so, we obtain enhancement factors for ¹⁰Be of 3.85 ± 0.68 (NGRIP), 4.21 ± 1.10 (EDML), 3.74 ± 0.77 (GRIP) and 2.98 ± 0.70 (EGRIP). As for 36 Cl, we find an enhancement factor of 6.09 ± 1.21. The baseline values, integrated enhancements and enhancement factors are listed in Table 1. Since the resolution of the ³⁶Cl samples is 4 years, all four corresponding ¹⁰Be samples in the NGRIP record were considered in order to calculate the average ¹⁰Be value, integrated enhancement and enhancement factor for exactly the same period for ¹⁰Be and ³⁶Cl. Averaging the ¹⁰Be enhancement factors of all four ice cores, we get an average ¹⁰Be enhancement factor of 3.69 ± 0.43 . The imprints left in the ice cores by the event reveal a ¹⁰Be increase possibly larger than the one left by the 774/ 5 CE event (3.4 ± 0.3^{16}) , updated to 3 by Mekhaldi et al.¹¹), but within the same uncertainty envelope. The shape of the peaks does not provide any additional robust information on the nature of the event, as they may also derive from a series of stochastic factors, such as climate and depositional effects²⁷, stratosphere-



Fig. 1 Cosmogenic radionuclide records for the 9125 years BP event. NGRIP and EDML data are shown on the left, GRIP and EGRIP ¹⁰Be data and ¹⁴C production rate²⁴ are shown on the right. The baseline (average radionuclide concentration excluding the peak values) is shown as a dashed line. The error bar of each data point includes the measurement uncertainty. The enhancement factors (ratio between integrated enhancements in the radionuclide production and the baseline value) are noted next to the peak for each record.

Summary of results							
Ice core	Isotope	Baseline × 10 ⁴ atoms/g	Integrated enhancement × 10 ⁴ atoms/g	Enhancement factor			
NGRIP	¹⁰ Be	1.71 ± 0.25	6.58 ± 0.61	3.85 ± 0.68			
	³⁶ CI	0.36 ± 0.05	2.18 ± 0.28	6.09 ± 1.21			
GRIP	¹⁰ Be	1.50 ± 0.17	5.60 ± 0.96	3.74 ± 0.77			
EDML	¹⁰ Be	3.81 ± 0.52	16.07 ± 3.58	4.21 ± 1.10			
EGRIP	¹⁰ Be	1.63 ± 0.28	4.84 ± 0.84	2.98 ± 0.70			

troposphere exchange rates that are modulated seasonally^{15,28,29} and sampling issues. Figure 1 includes the ¹⁴C production data from Brehm et al.²⁴, with an enhancement factor of 4.5 ± 0.5 , about 20% higher than the average ¹⁰Be enhancement factor.

Spectral hardness. Theoretical calculations show that there is a tight link between the spectral hardness and the ³⁶Cl/¹⁰Be ratio³⁰ which has been used and supported in past ice core studies on solar storms^{11,16,21}. The production rates of ¹⁰Be and ³⁶Cl, relative to one another, are very sensitive to the energy spectrum of the SEPs reaching Earth, which leaves a specific signature in the radionuclide production enhancement ratio. That is, the production of ¹⁰Be by typical SEPs is maximal at ~200 MeV, whereas 36 Cl production by SEPs peaks at ~30 MeV, due to a 36 Cl production rate resonance effect for proton interaction with ⁴⁰Ar³⁰. This means that softer events, characterized by a higher proportion of lower energy particles, will trigger a relatively more enhanced production of ³⁶Cl relative to ¹⁰Be compared to hard events, and are thus characterized by a larger ³⁶Cl/¹⁰Be enhancement ratio. So far, GLE no.24 of August 1972, is the GLE with the softest spectrum to have ever been measured⁹, and theoretical estimates indicate that it caused an increase of only 1.2% in the global yearly ¹⁰Be production rate according to Mekhaldi et al.¹¹ versus a 9.9% increase in ³⁶Cl, leading to a ³⁶Cl/ ¹⁰Be enhancement ratio of 8.6. On the other hand, GLE no.5 of February 1956 is the hardest GLE detected and caused an increase of about 5.1% in the global yearly ¹⁰Be production (vs. 8% in ³⁶Cl), leading to a ³⁶Cl/¹⁰Be excess ratio of 1.57¹¹.

For the calculation of the ³⁶Cl/¹⁰Be ratio, we used ¹⁰Be and ³⁶Cl from NGRIP. This is justified by the fact that, at the same location, the non-production variability of ¹⁰Be and ³⁶Cl records partly derives from the same factors such as snow accumulation influences on the radionuclide deposition. In addition, the NGRIP ¹⁰Be enhancement is very close to the average enhancement of the four ¹⁰Be records. Following the approach from O'Hare et al.²¹, a common ³⁶Cl/¹⁰Be baseline was estimated. The ³⁶Cl/¹⁰Be ratio was calculated for each ³⁶Cl datapoint and the corresponding four ¹⁰Be samples (0.212 ± 0.038) . The ³⁶Cl baseline was then calculated from the ¹⁰Be baseline (³⁶Cl baseline = $0.212 \times {}^{10}$ Be baseline). As a consequence, the baseline variability and its uncertainty are considered only once as opposed to those of each radionuclide being estimated separately. As a result, we obtain a ³⁶Cl/¹⁰Be excess ratio of 1.59 ± 0.38 . This places the event in the category of a hard event (large fluxes of high energy protons) akin to GLE no.5 from February 1956.

Fluence spectrum. The higher-resolution EGRIP data show that the ¹⁰Be peak lasts about 3 years, suggesting one or several events within short time occurred on a much shorter timescale. The production of ¹⁰Be nuclides by SEPs occurs higher in the stra-tosphere, relative to those produced by GCRs^{11,30,31}, because the softer energy spectrum of the incident SEP particles hinders them from penetrating deep into the atmosphere. Upon production, ¹⁰Be binds to aerosols and has an average stratospheric residence time of 1-2 years³². As a result, the stratospheric ¹⁰Be signal can be assumed to be globally well mixed³³. Modelling ¹⁰Be transport and deposition using a general circulation model, it has been shown that the well-mixed stratospheric ¹⁰Be is the dominant fraction of the radionuclides deposited in ice cores, representing 69% of the signal at GRIP³³. Considering that we expect an enhanced SEP-induced production signal almost exclusively in the stratosphere, the overall effect is that we can consider the Greenland ¹⁰Be records to be close to the global average production in terms of relative changes for SEP events. We assume that the same holds true for ³⁶Cl that has a similar stratospheric residence time as ${}^{10}\text{Be}{}^{34}$. ${}^{10}\text{Be}$ peaks may sometimes be linked to strong stratospheric eruptions 14,35 , but these would not be associated with peaks in ${}^{14}\text{C}$ and ${}^{36}\text{Cl}$ records, allowing us to rule out this hypothesis as a cause for the considered event.

As mentioned above, there is a ~20% difference between the relative ¹⁰Be and ¹⁴C enhancements. A similar disagreement was found for 774/5 CE and 993/4 CE events¹⁶. It is difficult to pinpoint the exact reason for this potentially systematic bias. It could be explained by the large uncertainties that characterize the radionuclide production yield functions¹¹. In particular, the different ¹⁴C yield functions are characterized by a large spread for $E < 500 \text{ MeV}^{36}$. It could possibly also be explained by the different geochemical behavior of ¹⁴C and ¹⁰Be. However, we find no evidence of a polar bias³⁷, as a larger ¹⁰Be amplitude would be expected due to the enhanced polar production rate during solar storms. This is opposite to our observations. The slightly smaller amplitude in the ¹⁰Be increase factor from the EGRIP record compared to the other records could also be due to some smoothing effect due to the sampling method for the CFA (Continuous Flow Analysis) samples (see Methods section).

Assuming that the radionuclide records from the Greenland ice cores are varying proportionally to the global production rates of ¹⁰Be and ³⁶Cl we can estimate the fluence and magnitude of the 9125 years BP event by assessing the relative increase of ³⁶Cl in NGRIP and ¹⁰Be in the various ice cores used here. To estimate the integrated fluence of the event, we compared the observed ice core ³⁶Cl/¹⁰Be ratio to ratios obtained from modeling the global production rate of radionuclides caused by known modern events and selected those that agreed within uncertainty as the modern analogs. Mekhaldi et al. (2021)¹¹ reassessed the global ¹⁰Be and ³⁶Cl production rates by GCRs and associated increases caused by GLEs throughout 1951–2016. The contribution of the GLEs to the mean global production rate of ¹⁰Be and ³⁶Cl was calculated by taking into account the spectral parameters from Raukunen et al.8 and the production functions from Poluianov et al.³¹. The selected events are listed in Table 2 with their corresponding ³⁶Cl/¹⁰Be ratios and fluences.

To assess the fluence of the event, we consider the average ¹⁰Be enhancement factor for the 9125 years BP event (3.69 ± 0.43) and compare it to the modeled increase in ¹⁰Be for the selected modern events (average enhancement factor of the event divided by the enhancement factor of the known GLEs). The obtained coefficients were used to scale the fluence spectra of the modern events. For example, we find that GLE no.5 (1956) caused an annual ¹⁰Be production increase (X₅₆) of 5.1%¹¹. We thus multiplied the fluence spectrum of GLE no.5 by the coefficient of 3.69/ X_{56} , i.e., a factor of 72 ± 8. The uncertainties of the scaling coefficients include the uncertainties related to the ¹⁰Be enhancement factors of both modern events and the 9125 years BP SEP event. The fluences (F₃₀, F₂₀₀, F₄₃₀) of the modern events used were taken from Cliver et al.⁹, based on the spectral parameters provided by Raukunen et al.⁸. The original spectra of the events that fit the ³⁶Cl/¹⁰Be ratio are shown as dashed curves in Fig. 2 whereas the continuous curves show the spectra of the events multiplied by the corresponding scaling coefficient. Finally, we also report the average spectrum of the newly-calculated spectra in the Figure (black curve), with a F₃₀ of 1.64 $(\pm 0.53) \times 10^{11}$ protons/cm², thus possibly up to two orders of magnitude larger than GLE no. 5, the strongest ground level enhancement to date. The uncertainty of the fluence (>30, 200, and 430 MeV) estimates reported in Table 2 include the uncertainties related to the ¹⁰Be enhancement factors and the standard deviation of the scaled fluence spectra. It is possible that

Table 2 Information about the modern GLEs with spectral shapes agreeing with the ³⁶Cl/¹⁰Be ratio for the 9125 years BP event: the ¹⁰Be production increase factor of each reference GLE relative to the GCR baseline for $\Phi = 650$ MV, fluences (protons/cm²) above 30, 200 and 430 MeV^{8,9}.

Event	GLE no.	¹⁰ Be production increase factor (X)	³⁶ Cl/ ¹⁰ Be enhancement ratio	F ₃₀ (protons/cm ²)	F ₂₀₀ (protons/cm ²)	F ₄₃₀ (protons/cm ²)
23-Feb-56	5	5.10E - 02	1.57	1.42E + 09	1.21E + 08	3.03E + 07
04-May-60	8	2.40E - 04	1.45	4.84E + 06	5.31E + 05	1.50E + 05
28-Jan-67	16	1.50E – 03	1.97	8.52E + 07	4.36E + 06	7.41E + 05
30-Mar-69	21	6.00E - 04	1.96	3.25E + 07	1.66E + 06	2.54E + 05
24-Sep-77	29	4.70E - 04	1.94	2.53E + 07	1.38E + 06	2.30E + 05
15-Nov-89	46	1.00E - 04	1.97	5.20E + 06	3.15E + 05	4.18E + 04
26-May-90	49	4.80E - 04	1.87	2.03E + 07	1.60E + 06	2.03E + 05
20-Jan-05	69	6.80E - 03	1.93	3.29E + 08	2.21E + 07	2.89E + 06
9125 years BP	-	3.69 ± 0.43	1.59 ± 0.38	1.64 (±0.53)E+11	1.06 (±0.19)E+10	1.80 (±0.35)E+09
774/5 CE	-	3.4 ± 0.3	1.8 ± 0.2	8.3 (±4.5)E+10	N/A	N/A
993/4 CE	-	1.2 ± 0.2	2.1 ± 0.4	3.3 (±1.8)E + 10	N/A	N/A
660 BCE	-	2.52 ± 0.91	1.4 ± 0.3	6.9 (±3.8)E + 10	N/A	N/A

The fluences of the 9125 years BP event are calculated as the average of the fluences of the scaled-up spectra. The uncertainties of the fluence estimates include the uncertainties of the ¹⁰Be enhancement factors and the standard deviation of the scaled fluence spectra. The ³⁶Cl/¹⁰Be ratio and fluence above 30 MeV for 774/5 CE, 993/4 CE, and 660 BCE^{16,21} are also reported for comparison. The fluences of these events have been updated by Mekhaldi et al.¹¹.





the ancient event was characterized by different spectral shape than the smaller modern events, as the ${}^{36}\text{Cl}/{}^{10}\text{Be}$ ratio provides information on the spectral hardness (relationship between ~30 MeV and ~200 MeV) but no additional details on the spectrum. The fluence (>E) estimates provided in Table 2 should thus be considered with caution, though they illustrate well the extreme nature of the 9125 years BP event. If the ${}^{14}\text{C}$ enhancement factor is used in the calculations, we find F₃₀ is

about 20% higher than using ¹⁰Be data from ice cores. This shows that our ¹⁰Be-derived fluence reconstruction provides a rather conservative estimate of the protons flux generated during the SEP event. Applying the same methodology but using the updated spectra from Koldobskiy et al.³⁸, we find a F₃₀ of 1.27 (±0.48) × 10¹¹, thus agreeing within uncertainties. The fluence spectra of modern GLEs from Koldobskiy et al.³⁸ have different spectral shapes than the ones from Raukunen et al.⁸, with lower fluences around 30 MeV, leading to this difference. At higher energies, where ¹⁰Be production is the most efficient (200–300 MeV), the spectra are very similar, leading to almost identical F₂₀₀. A comparison of the fluence spectra of modern GLEs from Koldobskiy et al.³⁸ and Raukunen et al.⁸ is shown in supplementary Fig. 1.

For the calculation of the increase in the global production rate of cosmogenic radionuclides caused by GLEs since the 1950s and with respect to production by GCRs, Mekhaldi et al.¹¹ considered a baseline $\Phi = 650 \text{ MV}$, representing the mean solar modulation during the Space Age³⁹. However, the solar modulation during the studied time period was likely lower^{40,41}. This is, however, uncertain as the different radionuclide-based reconstructions differ significantly^{40,42}. By averaging the solar modulation values presented by Vonmoos et al.⁴⁰ and Steinhilber et al.41 over 9100-9150 years BP (values of 260 MV and 337 MV respectively), we repeated the calculations with a baseline $\Phi = 300$ MV. If we take, for example, GLE no.5, we then find a scaling coefficient $(3.69/X_{56})$ of 95 (±10) (vs. 72(±8) at $\Phi = 650 \text{ MV}$). This would thus imply a F_{30} of 2.17 (±0.81)×10¹¹ protons/cm² making this event larger than the 774/5 CE event^{11,16,17}. On the other hand, if we consider the solar modulation values presented by Roth and Joos⁴², the average value over the targeted period is closer to the Space Age value (at $\Phi = 615$ MV). Using this value the scaling coefficients are 2% higher than at $\Phi = 650$ MV, which leads only to a minor change for the fluence. A correction for a possible variation in the geomagnetic shielding is not required, as both GCRs and SEPs are affected similarly, leading to no significant changes in the relative production rate enhancement of cosmogenic radionuclides for SEP events¹¹. Our fluence estimates in Table 2 are therefore rather lower limits considering this uncertainty in the calculation.



Fig. 3 Relationship between the solar 11-year cycle and the occurrence of the solar energetic particle events of 9125 years BP and 774/5 CE. a The normalized ¹⁰Be records from NGRIP and EGRIP from 9150 to 9105 years BP (see legend) compared to the normalized ¹⁰Be annual production rate modeled from neutron monitor data¹¹ for the period 1963-2008 (black line), showing the best correlation coefficients (for details see main text, EGRIP: r = 0.45, p < 0.01; NGRIP: r = 0.51, p < 0.01). The top panel shows the group sunspot number corresponding to the period of the neutron monitor-based production rate⁴⁹. **b** The same comparison for the average ¹⁰Be data from ice cores from Greenland (NEEM S1, NGRIP, and Tunu) and Antarctica (WAIS) from 770 to 800 CE (blue line) to the period 1961-1991 (neutron-monitor based production rate, black line), showing the best correlation coefficient (for details see main text, r = 0.69, p < 0.01). NEEM, NGRIP, and Tunu from Greenland and WAIS from Antarctica were normalized to their baseline (average ¹⁰Be concentration excluding the peak). The red line indicates the estimated onset of the event. The timescales are independently matched^{25,59}, i.e., not matched to get a fit for the ¹⁰Be peak.

Timing within the 11-year solar cycle. The relationship between the occurrence of SEP events and solar activity has been discussed for the Space Age period (1950s-Present). It has been observed that the majority of these events occur during an active phase, around solar maxima⁴³⁻⁴⁶. Performing the same analysis on the paleoevents has so far been hindered by the coarser resolution of the radionuclide records and the scarcity of events discovered. Nevertheless, Sukhodolov et al.47 tried to model the 11-year solar cycle around the 774/5 CE event, showing that the SEP event likely occurred near a solar minimum, when ¹⁰Be concentration peaks, in agreement with the data shown by Mekhaldi et al.¹⁶ and Sigl et al.⁴⁸. In this study, we compare the modeled ¹⁰Be annual production rate caused by GCRs and modulated by the 11-year solar cycle from Mekhaldi et al.¹¹ to our high-resolution ¹⁰Be data from EGRIP and NGRIP around 9125 years BP and to ¹⁰Be data from 774/5 CE^{16} to investigate the occurrence of the two events within the solar 11-year cycle. In this analysis we investigate the best fit between the normalized ¹⁰Be data from ice cores around the 9125 years BP and 774/5 CE events (excluding the peaks) and the normalized modeled production rate of ¹⁰Be from the last 70 years inferred from neutron monitor data (Fig. 3). The fitting has been carried out by shifting the globally averaged and normalized ¹⁰Be production rate modeled from neutron monitors in time versus our normalized data from NGRIP and EGRIP around 9125 years BP and the stack of ¹⁰Be records for 774/5 CE¹⁶ (excluding the peak). The selected scenarios are those for which we obtained statistically significant results (p < 0.05, computed using a t-test). We note that the 11-year solar cycle appears to be well-preserved in the records from NGRIP and EGRIP around 9125 years BP (see Fig. 3a). In general, the ice core data indicate a good agreement with theoretically expected production variations of ¹⁰Be by

GCRs, with a slight mismatch between 9140 and 9145 years BP. We find that four complete solar cycles with a duration of 10-11 years are observable (approximately 9105-9116, 9116-9126, 9126-9137, 9137-9148 years BP, from peak to peak in the ¹⁰Be concentration). From Fig. 3 we can also point out that the relative amplitudes (of about ±20%) of the variations of the 11-year solar cycles match the amplitude of the expected ¹⁰Be global production rates for modern solar modulation (here 1963-2008, Fig. 3a). With this fitting we obtain the best correlation coefficients (EGRIP: r = 0.45, p < 0.01; NGRIP: r = 0.51, p < 0.01, stack: r = 0.52, p < 0.01, where r is Pearson correlation coefficient). The suggested timing of the SEP event is indicated with a red line in Fig. 3, as inferred from the ¹⁴C production data²⁴, between the growing seasons of 9126 and 9125 years BP. The yearly group sunspot number from Svalgaard et al. (2016)⁴⁹ corresponding to the neutron monitor-inferred ¹⁰Be production rate is also shown in Fig. 3. The SEP event signal and the timing of the event could be affected by a delay of one year due to the residence time of stratospheric ¹⁰Be³². The data indicate that the event likely occurred close to the solar minimum. Similar results are obtained for the 774/5 CE event. Figure 3 (panel b) shows the normalized ¹⁰Be record from four ice cores from Greenland and Antarctica around the aforementioned event. The records from the Greenland ice cores NGRIP, NEEM and Tunu as well as the Antarctic ice core WAIS were normalized to their baseline (average concentration excluding the peak) and averaged to obtain a global stack. In this way, the noise inherent to the data can be significantly reduced owing to the availability of several radionuclide records, allowing the 11-year solar cycle to be clearly identifiable. Similarly to the period around the 9125 years BP event, the relative amplitudes of the wiggles in ¹⁰Be concentrations related

to the 11-year solar cycles match the amplitude of the expected ${}^{10}\text{Be}$ global production rates from 1961 to 1991 (r = 0.69, p < 0.01). In agreement with the results from Sukhodolov et al.⁴⁷, we find that the 774/5 CE event occurred during a period of low solar activity. The same analysis was carried out on ${}^{10}\text{Be}$ data around the 993/4 CE¹⁶ and 660 BCE²¹ events, but no significant results were obtained due to the noise inherent to the data (see supplementary Fig. 2).

Timescale implications. The identification of a synchronous peak in ¹⁰Be from ice cores and in ¹⁴C from tree rings provides a valuable global time-marker. First, the present work confirms the validity of the transfer function proposed by Adolphi and Muscheler²⁵, i.e., the synchronization of the GICC05 and IntCal time-scales based on common centennial-scale variations in the production rates of ¹⁰Be and ¹⁴C in ice cores and tree rings leading to an adjustment of -54 years (±6 years) to the GICC05 time scale. Secondly, the independent discovery of a peak in radiocarbon and ¹⁰Be allows the reduction of the time-scale synchronization uncertainty around that time to about one year connected to the sampling uncertainty and the ¹⁰Be residence time. Therefore, our results support the 54 years offset but reduce the uncertainty for the match between the Greenland ice core time scale to the absolute dendrochronologically determined IntCal ¹⁴C time scale from an estimated 6 years²⁵ to only one year around 9125 years BP.

To conclude, the data presented here provide evidence for an (or a series of) extreme SEP event(s) around 9125 years BP, showing one of the largest relative ¹⁰Be enhancement detected so far in ice cores. Furthermore, the reconstruction of the ³⁶Cl/¹⁰Be enhancement ratio suggests that this SEP event was characterized by a very hard spectrum and that it was similar or even larger than the 774/5 CE event in terms of fluence (>30 MeV). This thus further pushes the magnitude of a potential worst-case scenario for SEP events. We also provide evidence that the 9125 years BP and 774/5 CE events occurred near a solar minimum, contrary to expectations but in agreement with previous studies conducted on the 774/5 CE event⁴⁷. Additional events need to be discovered and studied at similarly high resolution in order to robustly assess whether there is a consistent pattern in the occurrence of extreme SEP events in relation to the 11-year solar cycle and solar activity levels in general, and the probability of occurrence of such extreme events. Identifying whether there exists a relationship between solar activity and occurrence of extreme solar storm events is fundamental for the planning of space missions, in order to minimize the risk for space technology and for the health of astronauts. We also provide a valuable time marker to more precisely constrain the dating of the ice cores and allowing the reduction of the timescale uncertainty.

Methods

Ice core data. NGRIP ice was sampled at an equidistant resolution of 11 cm (5 samples per 55 cm ice core bag), corresponding to an average temporal resolution of \sim 1 year for ¹⁰Be samples. Due to the lower ³⁶Cl concentrations, 4 samples were combined for the measurement of ³⁶Cl, resulting in average temporal resolution of \sim 4 years.

The EGRIP Continuous Flow Analysis (CFA) samples were collected at Climate and Environmental Physics (University of Bern) by sampling the outer part of the CFA ice samples, otherwise discarded⁵⁰. The EGRIP ¹⁰Be samples have been collected at an average temporal resolution of 0.85 years. The excess water was collected in 50 ml vials containing ⁹Be and ^{35/37}Cl carriers. The water flows through a small plastic tube connected to the CFA system. This procedure implies an uncertainty of a few centimeters in relating the ¹⁰Be sample to the depth of the continuously melting ice stick. Smoothing of the CFA chemistry data is typically on the order of months^{51,52} due to the mixing in the analysis channels. The ¹⁰Be line very likely has lower smoothing due to the very high flowrate of the segmented air/ water mix in the line. The GRIP ^{10}Be record is available for this period at a temporal resolution of ~6 years 53,54 , while EDML ^{10}Be data are available at a resolution of ~5 years.

 ^{10}Be concentrations from EGRIP CFA samples were blank corrected (average blank $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ ratio: $\sim 10\%$ of the sample $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ ratio, average $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ ratio of the blank samples: 0.013×10^{-12}). The other records were not corrected as the blank corrections were considered to be negligible (average blank $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ ratio for NGRIP: 2% of the sample $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ ratio, average $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ ratio of the blank samples: 0.008×10^{-12} ; average $^{36}\text{Cl/Cl}$ ratio for NGRIP: 3% of the sample $^{36}\text{Cl/Cl}$ ratio of the blank samples: 0.001×10^{-12}), in agreement with previous studies 53 .

¹⁰Be and ³⁶Cl extraction from ice samples. NGRIP ice samples (~175 g) were prepared using ion exchange chromatography following the procedure described by Adolphi et al.⁵⁵ with the addition of 0.150 mg of ⁹Be carrier. The smaller EGRIP excess water samples (~50 g) were prepared without the use of ion exchange chromatography with the addition of 0.100 mg of ⁹Be carrier. Be(OH)₂ was directly precipitated with NH₄OH. After centrifugation, the precipitate was transferred to a quartz crucible and the same procedure as Adolphi et al.⁵⁵ was then followed for the oxidation to BeO and pressing for AMS measurement.

 ^{36}Cl preparation was carried out following the procedures from Delmas et al. 56 with the addition of 4 mg Cl carrier. The measurement of ^{10}Be and ^{36}Cl was carried out at the Laboratory of Ion Beam Physics at ETH, Zurich (Switzerland) using Accelerator Mass Spectrometry 57 . The measured $^{10}\text{Be}/^{9}\text{Be}$ ratios were normalized to the ETH Zurich in house standards S2007N and S2010N 57 , which were both calibrated relative to the ICN 01-5-1 standard ($^{10}\text{Be}/^{9}\text{Be} = 2.709 \times 10^{-11}$ nominal) 58 . The measured $^{36}\text{Cl/Cl}$ ratios were normalized to the ETH Zurich in house standards S2010N 57 with a nominal value of (17.36 ± 0.34) $\times 10^{-12}$.

Timescale. GICC05 timescale was corrected according to Adolphi & Muscheler²⁵ with an adjustment of -54 years (±6 years). Since the EDML timescale has been matched to GICC05⁵⁹, the same timescale adjustment has been applied to the EDML ice core to match the IntCal chronology²⁵.

Data availability

The ^{10}Be and ^{36}Cl data generated in this study are provided in the Supplementary Information. Source data are provided with this paper.

Received: 26 July 2021; Accepted: 22 December 2021; Published online: 11 January 2022

References

- Eastwood, J. P. et al. Quantifying the economic value of space weather forecasting for power grids: An exploratory study. *Sp. Weather.* 16, 2052–2067 (2018).
- Tranquille, C. Solar proton events and their effect on space systems. *Radiat. Phys. Chem.* 43, 35–45 (1994).
- Crutzen, P. J., Isaksen, I. S. A. & Reid, G. C. Solar proton events: Stratospheric sources of nitric oxide. Sci. 189, 457–459 (1975).
- Jackman, C. H. et al. Northern Hemisphere atmospheric influence of the solar proton events and ground level enhancement in January 2005. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 11, 6153–6166 (2011).
- Päivärinta, S. M. et al. Observed effects of solar proton events and sudden stratospheric warmings on odd nitrogen and ozone in the polar middle atmosphere. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 118, 6837–6848 (2013).
- Calisto, M., Usoskin, I. & Rozanov, E. Influence of a Carrington-like event on the atmospheric chemistry, temperature and dynamics: Revised. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 8, 045010 (2013).
- Sinnhuber, M. et al. NOy production, ozone loss and changes in net radiative heating due to energetic particle precipitation in 2002–2010. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 18, 1115–1147 (2018).
- Raukunen, O. et al. Two solar proton fluence models based on ground level enhancement observations. J. Sp. Weather Sp. Clim. 8, 1–19 (2018).
- Cliver, E. W., Mekhaldi, F. & Muscheler, R. Solar longitude distribution of high-energy proton flares: Fluences and spectra. Astrophys. J. 900, L11 (2020).
- Usoskin, I. G. et al. Revisited reference solar proton event of 23 February 1956: Assessment of the cosmogenic-isotope method sensitivity to extreme solar events. J. Geophys. Res. Sp. Phys. 125, 1–13 (2020).
- Mekhaldi, F., Adolphi, F., Herbst, K. & Muscheler, R. The Signal of Solar Storms Embedded in Cosmogenic Radionuclides: Detectability and Uncertainties. J. Geophys. Res. Sp. Phys. 126, e2021JA029351 (2021).
- Beer, J. et al. Use of 10Be in polar ice to trace the 11-year cycle of solar activity. Nature. 347, 164–166 (1990).
- Berggren, A. M. et al. A 600-year annual 10Be record from the NGRIP ice core, Greenland. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 36, 1–5 (2009).

ARTICLE

- Baroni, M., Bard, E., Petit, J. R., Magand, O. & Bourlès, D. Volcanic and solar activity, and atmospheric circulation influences on cosmogenic 10Be fallout at Vostok and Concordia (Antarctica) over the last 60years. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta.* 75, 7132–7145 (2011).
- Zheng, M. et al. Solar and climate signals revealed by seasonal 10Be data from the NEEM ice core project for the neutron monitor period. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 541, 116273 (2020).
- Mekhaldi, F. et al. Multiradionuclide evidence for the solar origin of the cosmic-ray events of 774/5 and 993/4. Nat. Commun. 6, 1–8 (2015).
- Miyake, F., Nagaya, K., Masuda, K. & Nakamura, T. A signature of cosmic-ray increase in ad 774"775 from tree rings in Japan. *Nature*. 486, 240–242 (2012).
- Miyake, F. et al. The AD 775 cosmic ray event shown in beryllium-10 data from Antarctic Dome Fuji ice core. *Proc. Sci.* 30, 84–89 (2015).
- Miyake, F., Masuda, K. & Nakamura, T. Another rapid event in the carbon-14 content of tree rings. *Nat. Commun.* 4, 1745–1748 (2013).
- Miyake, F. et al. 10Be signature of the cosmic ray event in the 10th Century CE in Both Hemispheres, as Confirmed by Quasi-Annual 10Be Data From the Antarctic Dome Fuji Ice Core. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 46, 11–18 (2019).
- O'Hare, P. et al. Multiradionuclide evidence for an extreme solar proton event around 2,610 B.P. (~660 BC). Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. 116, 201815725 (2019).
- 22. Park, J., Southon, J., Fahrni, S., Creasman, P. P. & Mewaldt, R. Relationship between solar activity and Δ 14 C peaks in AD 775, AD 994, and 660 BC. *Radiocarbon.* **59**, 1147–1156 (2017).
- Sakurai, H. et al. Prolonged production of 14 C during the ~660 BCE solar proton event from Japanese tree rings. Sci. Rep. 10, 1–7 (2020).
- Brehm, N. et al. Tree-rings reveal two strong solar proton events in 7176 and 5259. Nat. Commun. Preprint at https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-753272/v1 (2021).
- Adolphi, F. & Muscheler, R. Synchronizing the Greenland ice core and radiocarbon timescales over the Holocene-Bayesian wiggle-matching of cosmogenic radionuclide records. *Climate.* 12, 15–30 (2016).
- Heikkilä, U. & Smith, A. M. Production rate and climate influences on the variability of 10Be deposition simulated by ECHAM5-HAM: Globally, in Greenland, and in Antarctica. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 118, 2506–2520 (2013).
- Pedro, J. et al. Evidence for climate modulation of the 10Be solar activity proxy. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 111, 1–6 (2006).
- Škerlak, B., Sprenger, M. & Wernli, H. A global climatology of stratospheretroposphere exchange using the ERA-Interim data set from 1979 to 2011. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 14, 913–937 (2014).
- Stohl, A. et al. Stratosphere-troposphere exchange: A review, and what we have learned from STACCATO. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 108, 8516 https:// doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002490 (2003).
- Webber, W. R., Higbie, P. R. & McCracken, K. G. Production of the cosmogenic isotopes3H, 7Be, 10Be, and36Cl in the Earth's atmosphere by solar and galactic cosmic rays. J. Geophys. Res. Sp. Phys. 112, 1–7 (2007).
- Poluianov, S. V., Kovaltsov, G. A., Mishev, A. L. & Usoskin, I. G. Production of cosmogenic isotopes 7Be. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD025034 (2016).
- Heikkilä, U., Beer, J. & Feichter, J. Modeling cosmogenic radionuclides 10Be and 7Be during the maunder minimum using the ECHAM5-HAM general circulation Model. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 8, 2797–2809 (2008).
- Heikkilä, U., Beer, J. & Feichter, J. Atmospheric chemistry and physics meridional transport and deposition of atmospheric 10 Be. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 9, 515–527 (2009).
- Synal, H. A., Beer, J., Bonani, G., Suter, M. & Wölfli, W. Atmospheric transport of bomb-produced 36Cl. *Nucl. Inst. Methods Phys. Res. B.* 52, 483–488 (1990).
- Baroni, M., Bard, E., Petit, J. R. & Viseur, S. Persistent draining of the stratospheric 10Be reservoir after the samalas volcanic eruption (1257 CE). J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 124, 7082–7097 (2019).
- Kovaltsov, G. A., Mishev, A. & Usoskin, I. G. A new model of cosmogenic production of radiocarbon 14 C in the atmosphere. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 337–338, 114–120 (2012).
- Bard, E., Raisbeck, G. M., Yiou, F. & Jouzel, J. Solar modulation of cosmogenic nuclide production over the last millennium: Comparison between 14 C and 10Be records. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **150**, 453–462 (1997).
- Koldobskiy, S., Raukunen, O., Vainio, R., Kovaltsov, G. A. & Usoskin, I. New reconstruction of event-integrated spectra (spectral fluences) for major solar energetic particle events. *Astron. Astrophys.* 647, 1–16 (2021).
- Usoskin, I. G., Gil, A., Kovaltsov, G. A., Mishev, A. L. & Mikhailov, V. V. Heliospheric modulation of cosmic rays during the neutron monitor era: Calibration using PAMELA data for 2006–2010. J. Geophys. Res. Sp. Phys. 122, 3875–3887 (2017).
- Vonmoos, M., Beer, J. & Muscheler, R. Large variations in Holocene solar activity: Constraints from 10Be in the Greenland Ice Core Project ice core. J. Geophys. Res. Sp. Phys. 111, 1–14 (2006).
- Steinhilber, F. et al. 9,400 Years of cosmic radiation and solar activity from ice cores and tree rings. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 109, 5967–5971 (2012).

- Roth, R. & Joos, F. A reconstruction of radiocarbon production and total solar irradiance from the Holocene 14 C and CO2 records: Implications of data and model uncertainties. *Climate.* 9, 1879–1909 (2013).
- Shea, M. A. & Smart, D. F. A summary of major solar proton events. *Sol. Phys.* 127, 297–320 (1990).
- Feynman, J. et al. Solar proton events during solar cycles 19, 20, and 21. Sol. Phys. 126, 385–401 (1990).
- Owens, M. J., Lockwood, M., Barnard, L. A., Scott, C. J. & Haines, C. Extreme space-weather events and the solar cycle. *Sol. Phys.* 1–20 https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11207-021-01831-3 (2021).
- Miyake, F., Usoskin, I. & Poluianov, S. Extreme Solar Particle Storms. https:// doi.org/10.1088/2514-3433/ab404a (IOP Publishing, 2019).
- 47. Sukhodolov, T. et al. Atmospheric impacts of the strongest known solar particle storm of 775 AD. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 1–9 (2017).
- Sigl, M. et al. Timing and climate forcing of volcanic eruptions for the past 2,500 years. *Nature*. 523, 543–549 (2015).
- Svalgaard, L. & Schatten, K. H. Reconstruction of the Sunspot Group Number: The Backbone Method. Sol. Phys. 291, 2653–2684 (2016).
- Erhardt, T., Jensen, C. M., Borovinskaya, O. & Fischer, H. Single particle characterization and total elemental concentration measurements in polar ice using continuous flow analysis-inductively coupled plasma time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 53, 13275–13283 (2019).
- Kaufmann, P. R. et al. An improved continuous flow analysis system for highresolution field measurements on ice cores. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 42, 8044–8050 (2008).
- Mekhaldi, F. et al. No coincident nitrate enhancement events in polar ice cores following the largest known solar storms. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 122, 11,900–11,913 (2017).
- Yiou, F. et al. Beryllium 10 in the Greenland ice core project ice core at summit, Greenland. J. Geophys. Res. 102, 26783–26794 (1997).
- Muscheler, R. et al. Changes in the carbon cycle during the last deglaciation as indicated by the comparison of 10Be and 14C records. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 219, 325–340 (2004).
- 55. Adolphi, F. et al. Persistent link between solar activity and Greenland climate during the Last Glacial Maximum. *Nat. Geosci.* 7, 662–666 (2014).
- Delmas, R. J. et al. Bomb-test 36 Cl measurements in Vostok snow (Antarctica) and the use of 36 Cl as a dating tool for deep ice cores. *Tellus B Chem. Phys. Meteorol.* 56, 492–498 (2004).
- Christl, M. et al. The ETH Zurich AMS facilities: Performance parameters and reference materials. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. B Beam Interact. Mater. At. 294, 29–38 (2013).
- Nishiizumi, K. et al. Absolute calibration of 10Be AMS standards. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. B Beam Interact. Mater. At. 258, 403–413 (2007).
- Veres, D. et al. The Antarctic ice core chronology (AICC2012): An optimized multi-parameter and multi-site dating approach for the last 120 thousand years. *Climate.* 9, 1733–1748 (2013).

Acknowledgements

This project was supported by a Royal Physiographic Society of Lund grant (to C.P.), the Swedish Research Council Grant DNR2013-8421 and DNR2018-05469 (to R.M.) and by the RADIATE project under the Grant Agreement 824096 from the EU Research and Innovation programme HORIZON 2020. F.A. acknowledges funding by the Helmholtz Association (VH-NG-1501). F.M. acknowledges funding from the Swedish Research Council (2020-00420). T.E. acknowledges the long-term support of ice core research at the University of Bern by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) and the Oeschger Center for Climate Change Research. We thank Stefanie Müller and Minjie Zheng for the help in the preparation of the samples for AMS measurements. The EGRIP CFA campaign was organized and directed by the Continuous Flow Analysis Group at the Climate and Environmental Physics Group by Tobias Erhardt and Camilla Jensen with support by the EGRIP project. EGRIP project is directed and organized by the Centre for Ice and Climate at the Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen. They are supported by funding agencies and institutions in Denmark (A. P. Møller Foundation, University of Copenhagen), USA (US National Science Foundation, Office of Polar Programs), Germany (Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research), Japan (National Institute of Polar Research and Arctic Challenge for Sustainability), Norway (University of Bergen and Trond Mohn Foundation), Switzerland (Swiss National Science Foundation), France (French Polar Institute Paul-Emile Victor, Institute for Geosciences and Environmental research), Canada (University of Manitoba) and China (Chinese Academy of Sciences and Beijing Normal University). The NGRIP ice core project is directed and organized by the Ice and Climate Research Group at the Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen. It is supported by funding agencies in Denmark (SNF), Belgium (FNRS-CFB), France (IFRTP and INSU/CNRS), Germany (AWI), Iceland (RannIs), Japan (MEXT), Sweden (SPRS), Switzerland (SNF) and the United States of America (NSF).

Author contributions

F.A. initiated the idea, and R.M and C.P. designed the project. C.P. prepared NGRIP and EGRIP ¹⁰Be and ³⁶Cl samples, performed the analysis, and wrote the manuscript. F.M. contributed to the interpretation of the data. M.C., P.G., C.V., and H.-A.S. contributed with the measurement and analysis of ¹⁰Be and ³⁶Cl samples. J.B. and F.W. contributed with EDML data. T.E. helped with the collection of the EGRIP samples. N.B. and L.W. contributed to the interpretation of the radiocarbon data. All authors contributed to the discussion and editing of the paper.

Funding

Open access funding provided by Lund University.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-27891-4.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Chiara I. Paleari.

Peer review information Nature Communications thanks Brian Thomas and the other anonymous reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons ٢ Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022