# European Network for Optimization of Veterinary Antimicrobial Therapy (ENOVAT) Guidelines for Antimicrobial Use in Canine Acute Diarrhoea

3 L. R. Jessen <sup>a\*,\*\*</sup>, K. Scahill <sup>b,c\*\*</sup>, D. Singleton<sup>d</sup>, C. Prior<sup>e</sup>, F. Foroutan<sup>f</sup>, A. A. Ferran<sup>g</sup>, C. Arenas<sup>h,i</sup>,

- 4 C. R. Bjørnvad<sup>a</sup>, E. Lavy<sup>j</sup>, F. Allerton<sup>e</sup>, K. Allensbach <sup>jk</sup>, L. Guardabassi<sup>l</sup>, S. Unterer<sup>m</sup>, T.
- 5 Bodnárová<sup>n</sup>, U. Windahl<sup>o</sup>, M. L. Brennan<sup>p</sup>, J. S. Weese<sup>q</sup>\*\*\*, M. Werner<sup>m\*\*\*</sup>
- 6
- <sup>7</sup> <sup>*a*</sup> Department of Veterinary Clinical Science, University of Copenhagen, 1870 Frederiksberg C, Denmark
- 8 <sup>b</sup>Evidensia Södra Djursjukhuset Kungens Kurva, Månskärarvägen 13, Kungens Kurva, 14175, Sweden
- 9 <sup>c</sup> University of Edinburgh, College of Medicine and Veterinary Medicine, Edinburgh, EH16 4SB, United
- 10 Kingdom
- <sup>11</sup> <sup>d</sup> Institute of Infection, Veterinary and Ecological Sciences, University of Liverpool, CH64 7TE, United
- 12 Kingdom<sup>e</sup> Willows Veterinary Centre & Referral Centre, Solihull, B90 4NH, United Kingdom
- 13 <sup>f</sup>Faculty of Health Sciences, McMasters University, ON L8S 4L8, Canada
- 14 <sup>*g</sup>INTHERES, Université de Toulouse, INRAE, ENVT, Toulouse, 31076, France*</sup>
- <sup>15</sup> <sup>h</sup>Internal Medicine Service, AniCura Valencia Sur Hospital Veterinario, Valencia, 46460, Spain<sup>i</sup> Vet Ct
- 16 Teleconsulting, Cambridge, CB30FA, United Kingdom.
- <sup>17</sup> <sup>*j*</sup>Koret School of Veterinary Medicine, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Rehovot 76100, Israel
- 18 <sup>k</sup>Department of Veterinary Clinical Sciences, College of Veterinary Medicine, Iowa State University, Ames,
- 19 IA, 50010 United States
- <sup>1</sup>Department of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, University of Copenhagen, 1870 Frederiksberg C,
   Denmark
- <sup>m</sup>Clinic for Small Animal Internal Medicine, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Zurich, Zurich, 8057,
   Switzerland
- 24 <sup>*n*</sup>Veterinary Clinic Podebrady, Poděbrady, 290 01, Czech Republic
- 25 °Department of Animal Health and Antimicrobial Strategies, National Veterinary Institute (SVA), SE-75189
- 26 Uppsala, Sweden
- <sup>p</sup>Centre for Evidence-based Veterinary Medicine, The University of Nottingham, LE12 5RD, United
   Kingdom
- <sup>29</sup> <sup>*q</sup>Department of Pathobiology, Ontario Veterinary College, University of Guelph, Guelph, ON, N1G2W1,*</sup>
- 30 Canada
- 31 \*Corresponding author. Tel.: +46850528800
- 32 *Email address:* <u>Lrmj@sund.ku.dk</u> (L.R.Jessen).
- 33 \*\*Shared first author
- 34 \*\*\*Shared last author
- 35
- 36
- 37
- 38

# 39 Abstract

40	Acute diarrhoea is a common presentation in dogs, and a common reason for antimicrobial
41	prescription and nutraceutical use. This evidence-based guideline provides recommendations for
42	antimicrobial and nutraceutical treatment of canine acute diarrhoea (CAD). A multidisciplinary panel
43	developed the recommendations by adhering to the Grading of Recommendations Assessment,
44	Development and Evaluation (GRADE) framework. The opinions of stakeholders (general veterinary
45	practitioners and dog owners) were collected and incorporated to ensure the applicability of this
46	guideline. Four strong recommendations informed by high certainty evidence, and three conditional
47	recommendations informed by very low or low certainty evidence, were drafted by the panel, along with
48	an ungraded section on diagnostic work-up of dogs with acute diarrhoea. The ENOVAT guidelines
49	initiative encourages national or regional guideline makers to use the evidence presented in this
50	document, and the supporting systematic review, to draft national or local guidance documents.
51	
52	
52	Koywords
54	Antimicrobial stawardshin: antibiotics: antaritis: avidance based: CRADE
55	Antimicrobial stewardship, antibiotics, entertis, evidence based, OKADE
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	
61	
62	
63	
64	
65	
66	

#### 67 **Executive summary** (recommendations and remarks without full rationale)

#### **Recommendation 1**

In dogs with mild disease and acute non-hemorrhagic diarrhoea (dogs in good general condition, with no signs of dehydration or systemic illness), we recommend against treatment with antimicrobials. *Strong recommendation, high-certainty evidence.* 

#### **Recommendation 2**

In dogs with mild disease and acute diarrhoea with hematochezia (dogs in good general condition, with no signs of dehydration or systemic illness), we recommend against treatment with antimicrobials. *Strong recommendation, high-certainty evidence.* 

#### **Recommendation 3**

In dogs with acute non-hemorrhagic diarrhoea, and moderate disease (dogs with impaired general condition and varying degrees of dehydration/hypovolemia. Dogs may have signs of systemic disease related to the deficit of body fluids, that will resolve with adequate fluid therapy), we recommend against treatment with antimicrobials. *Strong recommendation, high-certainty evidence.* 

#### **Recommendation 4**

In dogs with acute hemorrhagic diarrhoea, and moderate disease (dogs with impaired general condition and varying degrees of dehydration/hypovolemia. Dogs may have signs of systemic disease related to the deficit of body fluids that will resolve with adequate fluid therapy), we recommend against treatment with antimicrobials.

*Strong recommendation, high-certainty evidence.* **Remarks:** Dogs with laboratory values indicative of severe or overwhelming inflammation, such as severe neutrophilia (>  $25x10^{9}/L$ ), neutropenia and/or degenerative left-shift, represent an exception.

# **Recommendation 5**

In dogs with hemorrhagic and non-hemorrhagic diarrhoea, and severe disease (dogs with impaired general condition and varying degrees of dehydration/hypovolemia, and signs of systemic disease despite adequate fluid therapy), we suggest systemic treatment with antimicrobials.

Conditional recommendation, very low-certainty evidence.

#### **Recommendation 6**

In dogs with severe disease, we suggest parenteral (intravenous or intramuscular) administration of antimicrobials that are expected to be effective for treatment of bacterial translocation and bacteraemia or sepsis. Drug choice depends on how critical the clinical status of the dog is, as well as regional prevalence of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) and drug availability. In dogs with non-critical illness (Table 1) living in a region with low AMR prevalence, we suggest ampicillin or alternatively amoxicillin-clavulanic acid or trimethoprim/sulfonamides as first line drugs.

In dogs with critical illness (Table 1) or where antimicrobial resistance is more likely (e.g. based on geographic trends or the patient's antimicrobial exposure history) we suggest administration of a four-quadrant protocol providing gram positive, gram negative, aerobic and anaerobic coverage (Table 5). Dogs with non-critical illness that do not respond to first line antimicrobials and supportive care should also receive this protocol.

Conditional recommendation, very low-certainty evidence/expert opinion. Level of agreement 100 %

\*Antimicrobial drug combinations with four-quadrant spectrum (aerobic, anaerobic, gram positive and gram negative spectrum) include aminopenicillins or clindamycin combined with fluoroquinolones or aminoglycosides (gentamicin, amikacin).

#### **Recommendation 7**

The duration of antimicrobial treatment is dependent on the treatment response and the panel suggests daily assessment of animals while hospitalized. Antimicrobial therapy should not extend beyond clinical resolution. For the majority of dogs, treatment of 3-7 days is likely adequate to obtain clinical resolution

Conditional recommendation, very low-certainty evidence. Level of agreement 100%

# **Recommendation 8**

In dogs with acute diarrhoea we do not recommend either for, or, against use of probiotics. *The trade-offs are closely balanced. Moderate certainty evidence.* 

#### 68 Introduction

69 Acute diarrhoea in dogs is a common presenting complaint in veterinary practice ((Jones et al., 2014).

70 The vast majority of dogs with acute diarrhoea have mild and self-limiting disease (Hubbard et al., 2007),

- vhile a small proportion of dogs become more profoundly sick and require intravenous fluid support and
- hospitalization (Singleton et al., 2019). A study of over 3000 dogs with acute diarrhoea presented to
- 73 primary practice showed that 84% of consults had mild clinical signs, 15 % had moderate clinical signs,
- and less than 1% had severe clinical signs, as defined by the attending veterinarian (Singleton et al.,
- 75 2019). Only 2.3% of all dogs were admitted and 0.2% were referred to secondary practice in the same
- remains unknown, the prognosis in most cases is
- excellent. Most cases resolve within one week (Hubbard et al., 2007) and fatalities are rare, with an all-
- reause mortality/euthanasia in hospitalized dogs of approximately 2–4 % (Mortier et al., 2015; Dupont et
- al., 2021). Despite the mild biological course of disease and favorable prognosis, acute diarrhoea remains
- 80 one of the more common indications for antimicrobial use in dogs (De Briyne et al., 2013). It has been
- 81 documented that 50-65% of dogs with acute diarrhoea are prescribed antimicrobials (Jones et al., 2014;
- 82 Singleton et al., 2019; Lutz et al., 2020). According to a UK study, metronidazole is most frequently
- 83 administered drug followed by amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (Singleton et al., 2019). Antimicrobial
- resistance (AMR) is one of our times most pressing health problems, it affects humans and animals alike,
- and is mainly driven by the selection pressure created by antibiotic usage (WHO, 2024). Canine acute
- 86 diarrhea represents a highly common condition associated with inappropriately high antimicrobial
- 87 prescription rates, and as such, is of high priority for antimicrobial stewardship in companion animal

88 practice. Presently there are no international antimicrobial use guidelines available for treatment of acute

89 diarrhea in dogs.

#### 90 Scope and purpose

The purpose of this document is to provide guidance on antimicrobial use in dogs with acute diarrhoea, based on the best available evidence and transparent reasoning. The target audience is veterinary practitioners managing dogs with acute diarrhoea, in either out-patient or hospital settings. The guideline is intended to help practitioners direct antimicrobial treatment towards those dogs that are most likely to benefit from it, while reducing unnecessary use in the remaining dogs. As with all guidelines, this document is not intended to be a substitute for good clinical judgement, and recommendations should not be viewed as diktats. Even strong recommendations may not apply to all dogs in all circumstances.

98 The recommendations in this guideline are informed by the systematic review previously published by the

99 group (Scahill et al., 2024). The ENOVAT guidelines initiative encourages national or regional guideline

100 makers to use the evidence presented in this document, and the supporting systematic review, to draft

- national or local guidance documents. Translation and dissemination of ENOVAT guidance documents isencouraged.
- 103 This guideline is produced in collaboration with the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and
- 104 Infectious Disease (ESCMID) Study Group for Veterinary Microbiology (ESGVM).

# 105 Methods

- 106 This guideline was produced following the ENOVAT operating procedure (ENOVAT, 2024). The
- 107 Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) approach was used
- 108 to assess the certainty of the evidence and draft recommendations (Guyatt et al., 2008).

# 109 *Composition of the Guidelines Drafting Group*

- 110 The guidelines panel was established in 2020, and is composed of 18 members representing the veterinary
- 111 fields of gastroenterology (MW, SU, CRB, KA), internal medicine, (LRJ, FA, EL, CA, CP), infectious
- diseases (SW, KS), general medicine (TB), microbiology (LG), pharmacology (AF), epidemiology (MB,
- 113 DS) and public health (UW). One panel member (FF) represents the field of guidelines methodology in
- human medicine. The work was chaired by an oversight committee (LRJ, DS) and a methodology
- taskforce (KS, MW, CP, MB, FF) was established as a subset of the group. Two members of the
- 116 methodology taskforce were non-voting members (MB, FF).

## 117 Conflict of interest

- 118 This article is based upon work from the COST Action European Network for Optimization of Veterinary
- 119 Antimicrobial Treatment (CA18217), supported by COST (European Cooperation in Science and
- 120 Technology). The panel members did not have any substantial conflicts of interest at the time of drafting
- 121 recommendations. However, it should be noted that most of the panel members are involved in
- 122 antimicrobial stewardship activities. Two panel members (MW and SU) were authors of trials included in
- the systematic reviews and did not participate in the risk of bias assessment or any other individual
- 124 quality assessments for these publications.
- 125 *Generation of Guidelines content and involvement of veterinary practitioners and dog owners*
- 126 An overview of the guidelines process is depicted in Figure 1. In brief, the content of the guidelines and
- the clinical questions were generated by the panel in an iterative process involving electronic Delphi
- 128 questionnaires and on-line meetings. The panel defined the target population as dogs with acute (less than
- 129 7 days duration) diarrhoea, regardless of aetiology, and categorized this population into three sub-
- 130 populations of dogs depending on the severity of their clinical state. Each sub-population was further sub-

- 131 grouped, based on the presence or absence of blood in the stools. Three clinical questions concerning the
- 132 effect, choice and duration of antimicrobial therapy, were selected for systematic reviews. Furthermore,
- 133 three clinical questions concerning the effect of nutraceuticals were selected for systematic review, of
- 134 which only the question on probiotics was included in the guidelines.
- 135 Clinical questions were phrased using the Population Intervention Comparator Outcome (PICO) format.
- 136 To ensure the relevance of the guidelines content, and integrated the perspectives of guideline end-users,
- panel members conducted structured interviews with veterinary practitioners (n=41) and dog-owners
- 138 (n=33) from across Europe and Israel. From this process, five outcomes (duration of diarrhoea,
- 139 progression of disease, duration of hospitalization, mortality and adverse effects) were prioritized for
- evaluation. Outcomes were classified as critical if deemed so by the majority of either the veterinary
- 141 practitioners, dog owners and/or panel members.

To evaluate the effect of treatment, thresholds for clinically relevant treatment effects were established for 142 143 all outcomes. Thresholds for a relevant reduction in the duration of diarrhoea, and a relevant reduction in the duration of hospitalization, were established prior to conducting the systematic review, and were 144 145 based on the opinion of the majority of interviewed veterinary practitioners, dog owners and panel 146 members. The thresholds for a clinically relevant effect of treatment on the risk of mortality, and the risk 147 of disease progression, were established after conducting the systematic review, following GRADE's updated guidance of the imprecision domain (Zeng et al., 2022). These thresholds were derived by 148 surveying a different group of veterinary practitioners (n=23) and panel members from the clinical field 149 150 (n=11) and calculating the 25-percentile value of the risk-effects selected by the survey participants. Outcomes and thresholds for a clinically relevant treatment effect are listed in Table 1. Subgrouping of 151 dogs are described in table 2. 152

153 Table 1. Critical outcomes and treatment effect thresholds in dogs with acute diarrhea

Outcome (subgroup)	Threshold for a clinically relevant effect of treatment
Duration of diarrhea	At least 1 day reduction
Duration of hospitalization (dogs with moderate and severe disease)	At least 1 day reduction
Mortality (dogs with severe disease)	3 % risk increase/decrease
Progression of disease (dogs with mild disease)	30 % risk increase/decrease
Progression of disease (dogs with moderate – severe disease)	10 % risk increase/decrease

## 155 *Systematic review and judging the certainty of evidence*

The systematic reviews, meta-analyses (MA), and evidence assessment were conducted by the
methodology taskforce and oversight committee. The results of the systematic reviews, and a description
of the methods applied, are available in the supporting systematic review (Scahill et al., 2024). In brief,

the certainty of evidence was assessed for each outcome using the GRADE methodology, and was based

160 on the risk of bias, imprecision, indirectness, inconsistency and publication bias (Guyatt et al., 2008). The

161 partially contextualized approach was used to assess imprecision for separate outcomes (Zeng et al.,

162 2022). The certainty of the body of evidence was based on the certainty of evidence of the outcomes

deemed critical, and could not be graded higher than the critical outcome with the lowest certainty.

## 164 *Generation of recommendations*

Recommendations were drafted by the panel in May 2022 in a face-to-face hybrid meeting in 165 Copenhagen. Prior to the meeting, panel members were presented with a video summary of the systematic 166 167 review and meta-analyses, as well as a written evidence summary report prepared by members of the methodology taskforce (KS, MW). Panel members were also provided with a narrative summary of the 168 169 harmful effects of antimicrobial therapy on the canine gastrointestinal residual flora (MW, SU, LG), and a summary of the stakeholder interviews (LRJ, CP). Finally, panel members were provided with links and 170 171 asked to familiarize themselves with video material from the McMaster University on the guidelines formation process following the GRADE approach. Drafting of recommendations followed the GRADE 172 173 Evidence to Decision (EtD) framework, and for each recommendation the following factors were 174 discussed: certainty of the overall evidence, the balance of desirable and undesirable effects, preferences 175 and values of dog-owners and veterinary practitioners, equity, acceptability and feasibility (Alonso-Coello et al., 2016). The panel defined consensus as 80% agreement prior to drafting recommendations. 176 Agreement was calculated based on the 16 voting members. The panel drafted four strong and three 177 178 conditional recommendations. Strong recommendations were informed by moderate or high certainty evidence, conditional recommendations were informed by low or very low certainty evidence. The 179 180 definitions of certainty and the implications of strong and conditional recommendations are described in 181 Table 3. Two recommendations (6 and 7) were elaborated and modified after the meeting and subjected to two more processes of agreement. All recommendations received 100 % agreement. 182

183

184

- 185 *Generation of the diagnostic (ungraded) section.*
- 186 The diagnostic section was generated by an iterative process involving several Delphi rounds and a final
- approval of considerations by the voting panel members.

# 188 *Consultation phase*

- 189 Guidelines were available on the ENOVAT website from 26/02/2024 to 26/03/2024 for public
- 190 consultation (ENOVAT, 2024). The public consultation phase was announced by the ENOVAT
- 191 newsletter and members from ESGVM, ENOVAT and the European Society of Comparative
- 192 Gastroenterology (ESCGE) were contacted by email/newsletter and encouraged to participate.
- 193
- 194



- 195
- 196
- 197 Fig 1. Overview of the guidelines process.
- 198
- 199
- 200
- 201

# Table 2. Sub-populations of dogs with acute diarrhea.

Sub- population	Presence/absence of blood in the stools	Definition
Mild disease	Non-hemorrhagic diarrhea Hematochezia.	Dogs with mild disease are bright, alert and responsive. They have no clinical signs of dehydration or hypovolemia and there is absence of fever. These dogs are managed as out-patients.
Moderate disease	Non-hemorrhagic Hemorrhagic	Dogs with moderate disease have mild to moderately depressed mental status, and are dehydrated or hypovolemic. Dogs in this category may present with signs of systemic disease, typically tachycardia. When present, systemic signs are due to dehydration/hypovolemia, and resolve rapidly with adequate fluid replacement. There is absence of fever. Dogs with moderate disease warrant fluid therapy and supportive care, and are often hospitalized.
Severe disease	Non-hemorrhagic Hemorrhagic	<ul> <li>Dogs with severe disease have moderately to severely depressed mental status and signs of dehydration or hypovolemia. Dogs with severe disease warrant fluid therapy and supportive, sometimes intensive care. These dogs are hospitalized. Dogs in this category may present in different ways:</li> <li>Dogs with critical illness (severely depressed mental status and severe vascular compromise/shock)</li> <li>Dogs with non-critical illness e.q., dogs presenting with moderate disease but systemic signs do not resolve or progress or relapse despite adequate fluid replacement; or dogs that present with or develop overwhelming inflammation (severe neutrophilia or neutropenia) or fever (&gt;39.3)</li> </ul>

204 Dogs with acute diarrhea are sub-categorized according to the severity of clinical disease.

205 *Categorization is not based on volume or frequency of diarrhea.* 

- 206
- 207 Table 3. Definition of the certainty of evidence and implications of strong versus conditional
- 208 recommendations.

Certainty of evidence	a
High	The authors have a lot of confidence that the true effect is close to the estimated effect.
Moderate	The authors believe that the true effect is probably close to the estimated effect.
Low	The true effect might be markedly different from the estimated effect.
Very low	The true effect is probably markedly different from the estimated effect

# 202

	Recommendations		
	Implications for:	Strong Recommendation	Conditional Recommendation
	Animals	Most animals in this situation would benefit from the recommended course of action and only a small proportion would not.	The majority of animals in this situation would benefit from the suggested course of action, but many would not.
	Clinicians	Most animals should receive the recommended course of action.	Evidence is inadequate to make a strong recommendation, and/ or different choices might be appropriate for different animals. Be prepared to help animal owners make a decision that is consistent with their own values/preferences.
	Policy makers	The recommendation can be adapted as policy in most situations.	Policy making may require substantial debate and involvement of many stakeholders. Policies are also more likely to vary between regions.
209	Modified from (Guy	ratt et al., 2008)	
210			
211	Results		
212	Recommendations	on antimicrobial use in dogs with a	cute diarrhoea and mild disease
213	<b>Recommendation</b> 1		
214	In dogs with mild di	sease and acute non-hemorrhagic dia	rrhoea we recommend against treatment with
215	antimicrobials.		
216	Strong recommenda	ntion, high-certainty evidence.	
217	Level of agreement	100%	
218			
219	Recommendation 2		
220	In dogs with mild di	sease and acute diarrhoea with hemat	cochezia we recommend against treatment with
221	antimicrobials.		
222	Strong recommenda	ttion, high-certainty evidence.	
223	Level of agreement	100%	
224	Rationale for recom	mendations 1 & 2	
225	Evidence of theraped	utic effect	

226 There is high certainty evidence that antimicrobials do not confer a clinically relevant effect in dogs with 227 acute diarrhoea and mild disease, whether or not blood is present in the stools. Based on the enquiries 228 among dog owners and veterinarians, the main concern in dogs with acute diarrhoea and mild disease is 229 the duration of diarrhoea (critical outcome), and for dogs with hematochezia, the risk of disease 230 progression is also a concern (critical outcome). To investigate the effect of antimicrobials in dogs with diarrhoea, we conducted a systematic review, and included outcome data from 232 dogs from six 231 232 randomized controlled trials in a metanalysis (Scahill et al., 2024). Dogs with mild disease were represented in four trials (Shmalberg et al., 2019; Langlois et al., 2020; Werner et al., 2020; Rudinsky et 233 234 al., 2022), two of which also included dogs with moderate disease and non-hemorrhagic diarrhoea receiving intravenous fluid therapy as out-patients(Shmalberg et al., 2019; Langlois et al., 2020). Dogs 235 236 with hematochezia were represented in one study (Rudinsky et al., 2022). The remaining two trials were 237 conducted in dogs with moderate disease and hemorrhagic diarrhoea (Unterer et al., 2011; Israeloff, 238 2009). Antimicrobials investigated were metronidazole (3 studies), amoxicillin clavulanate (2 studies) or

a combination (1 study).

240 The mean duration of diarrhoea in dogs with acute diarrhoea ranged from 1.7 to 9.3 days in dogs

receiving antimicrobials and from 1.9 to 6.68 days in the control group. When looking at the pooled mean

difference between treated and untreated dogs, duration of diarrhoea was reduced by 0.28 days or

approximately 7 hours (95% CI -0.77-0.21) in dogs receiving antimicrobials. The mean difference was

below the 24 hours threshold for a clinically relevant reduction in the time of diarrhoea, as predefined by

245 dog-owners and veterinary practitioners, and was therefore considered trivial. Likewise, subgroup

analysis of the 126 non-hospitalized dogs (dogs with mild disease, and dogs with moderate disease and

247 non-hemorrhagic diarrhoea) and the 106 hospitalized dogs (dogs with moderate disease and hemorrhagic

- 248 diarrhoea) showed only trivial reduction in the duration of diarrhoea in response to antimicrobials. The
- 249 mean reductions in days of diarrhoea were 0.07 days (95% CI -1.19-1.05) and 0.38 days (95% CI -0.81-

250 0.04), respectively. No dogs with mild disease included in the systematic review suffered progression of

disease. The certainty of evidence for dogs with mild disease was high. The systematic review included

the six trials in a network meta-analysis to make an indirect comparison between metronidazole and beta-

- 253 lactams. Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid was marginally more efficient in shortening the duration of
- diarrhoea (MD -0.29 days, 95% CI -2.24, 1.65) in comparison to metronidazole but the difference was
- considered clinically trivial (below 24 hours), and did not change the overall conclusion (Scahill et al.,
- 256 2024).

257 The balance between desirable and undesirable effects

- 258 From the perspective of the individual dog and society, avoidance of antimicrobial use, where there is no
- benefit of therapy, is preferred to avoid harmful effects of antimicrobial treatment (Table 4). Harmful
- 260 effects could include adverse drug effects, antimicrobial resistance, impacts on the gut microbiota, and
- 261 problems relating to drug administration (e.g., bites, disruption of the human-animal bond).
- 262 The six studies included in the systematic review did not report adverse effects, or exacerbation of clinical
- signs, in association with antimicrobial administration. However, adverse effects may go undetected in
- 264 dogs with acute diarrhoea as the most common manifestations are indeed gastrointestinal upset. Impacts
- 265 on the microbiota were investigated in dogs with acute diarrhoea and mild disease in two of the trials
- 266 included in the systematic review. The PCR based dysbiosis index was altered, indicating dysbiosis
- following antimicrobial therapy with metronidazole (Rudinsky et al., 2022) but not in dogs treated with
- amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (Werner et al., 2020). Selection for antimicrobial resistance was investigated
- in the latter study, which documented selection of amoxicillin-resistant Escherichia coli (E. coli),
- persisting up to 3 weeks following cessation of therapy (Grock et al., 2021).
- 271 When balancing the desirable against undesirable effects of antimicrobials in dogs with mild disease, the
- 272 panel finds that undesirable effects clearly outweigh the desirable effects, for which documentation is
- 273 lacking.
- 274

# 275 Recommendations on antimicrobial use in dogs with acute diarrhoea and moderate disease

- 276 **Recommendation 3**
- 277 In dogs with acute non-hemorrhagic diarrhoea, and moderate disease, we recommend against treatment
- with antimicrobials.
- 279 Strong recommendation, high-certainty evidence.
- 280 Level of agreement 100%
- 281
- 282 Recommendation 4
- In dogs with acute hemorrhagic diarrhoea, and moderate disease, we recommend against treatment withantimicrobials.
- 285 Strong recommendation, high-certainty evidence.
- 286 Level of agreement 100%

**Remarks:** Dogs with laboratory values indicative of severe or overwhelming inflammation, such as severe neutrophilia (>  $25x10^9$ ), neutropenia and/or degenerative left-shift, represent an exception.

Clinical monitoring of dogs with moderate disease while hospitalized is imperative as some dogs will
experience worsening of clinical signs hours or days after initial improvement.

291 Rationale for recommendations 3 & 4

292 Evidence of therapeutic effect

There is high certainty evidence that antimicrobials do not confer a clinically relevant effect in dogs with 293 acute diarrhoea and moderate disease, whether or not the diarrhoea is hemorrhagic (Scahill et al., 2024)., 294 295 2023). Based on the enquiries among dog owners and veterinarians, the risk of disease progression and 296 the duration of hospitalization are the main concerns in dogs with acute diarrhoea and moderate disease, 297 thus these are considered critical outcomes. Other outcomes deemed important in this group of dogs are 298 duration of diarrhoea and risk of mortality. The effect of antimicrobials on duration of diarrhoea in dogs 299 with acute diarrhoea is described in the prior paragraph (see evidence summary for dogs with mild 300 disease) and the same conclusion applies for dogs with moderate disease. Disease progression, duration of hospitalization and mortality were investigated in the same systematic review of 232 dogs with acute 301 302 diarrhoea as discussed earlier (Scahill et al., 2024). Disease progression occurred in two out of 106 dogs 303 with moderate disease and hemorrhagic diarrhoea, one was described as clinically worsened and one 304 developed leukopenia. The pooled risk difference between treated and untreated dogs was 0.02, which 305 translates into a risk of 21 more dogs per 1000 dogs suffering progression of disease without 306 antimicrobials (95% CI from 70 more dogs to 30 less dogs per 1000 dogs). This risk difference was below 307 the threshold for clinical relevance predefined by panel members and veterinary practitioners, and 308 therefore considered trivial. The mean duration of hospitalization in dogs with acute diarrhoea ranged 309 from 3.59 to 3.61 days in dogs receiving antimicrobials and from 3.22 to 3.36 days in the control group. 310 When looking at the pooled mean difference between treated and untreated dogs, there was a trivial (< 24 311 hours) prolongation of time of hospitalization in dogs receiving antimicrobials by 0.37 days (95% CI 312 0.04-0.69). Likewise, for mortality there was no detectable benefit of antimicrobial therapy, and the odds 313 ratio of 1.43 (95% CI 0.24-8.54) was in favour of the untreated control group. Mortality occurred in 5 out 314 of 106 dogs with moderate disease and hemorrhagic diarrhoea, three of which were treated with 315 antimicrobials and two of which were not treated. The certainty of evidence for dogs with moderate 316 disease was high.

317 The balance between desirable and undesirable effects

- 318 When balancing the desirable against undesirable effects of antimicrobials in dogs with moderate disease,
- the panel finds that undesirable effects clearly outweigh the desirable effects, for which documentation is
- 320 lacking. The readers are referred to the prior paragraph for dogs with mild disease and to Table 4 for a
- 321 description of the harmful effects of antimicrobials in dogs with acute diarrhoea.
- 322
- 323 Recommendations on antimicrobial use in dogs with acute diarrhoea and severe disease

## 324 Recommendation 5

- 325 In dogs with hemorrhagic and non-hemorrhagic diarrhoea, and severe disease we suggest treatment with
- 326 systemic antimicrobials.
- 327 Conditional recommendation, low-certainty evidence
- 328 Level of agreement 100%
- 329 Rationale for recommendations 5
- 330 *Evidence of therapeutic effect*

331 Dogs with severe disease constitute a minor proportion of dogs with acute diarrhoea (Singleton et al., 332 2019) and they are not represented in any of the randomized controlled antimicrobial treatment trials 333 (Scahill et al., 2024). Observational studies in dogs with acute diarrhoea and severe disease provide data 334 on treated individuals only and baseline rates for progression of disease and mortality in untreated dogs 335 with severe disease are lacking. The overall certainty of the evidence informing the recommendation is 336 low, due to very serious indirectness of data.

337 The balance between desirable and undesirable effects

When balancing the desirable against undesirable effects of antimicrobials in dogs with severe disease, 338 the panel finds that the potential desirable effects outweigh the undesirable effects. Dogs with severe 339 disease are dogs with impaired general condition and persistent signs of systemic disease. Some dogs in 340 this group may directly present critically ill with overt signs of sepsis, while others have more subtle 341 342 disease, yet have not responded to - or have progressed despite - adequate fluid therapy. The panel finds that discriminating between animals that will, and will not, benefit from antimicrobials in dogs with 343 344 severe disease is challenging, and that withholding antimicrobials may pose a risk of the disease 345 progressing to sepsis or other infectious consequences in some dogs. A beneficial effect of antimicrobials, 346 though not investigated in any trial, should be considered likely. Harmful effects of antimicrobials are

described in Table 4 but are considered of lesser importance to the animal's health when considering thepotential risk of sepsis in dogs with severe disease.

#### 349 **Recommendation 6**

- 350 In dogs with severe disease, we suggest parenteral (intravenous or intramuscular) administration of
- antimicrobials that are expected to be effective for treatment of bacterial translocation and bacteraemia or
- 352 sepsis. Drug choice depends on how critical the clinical status of the dog is, as well as regional AMR
- 353 prevalence and drug availability.
- In dogs with non-critical illness (Table 1) living in a region with low AMR prevalence, we suggest
- ampicillin or alternatively amoxicillin-clavulanic acid or trimethoprim/sulfonamides as first line drugs.
- 356 In dogs with critical illness (Table 1) or where antimicrobial resistance is more likely (e.g. based on
- 357 geographic trends or the patient's antimicrobial exposure history) we suggest administration of a four-
- quadrant protocol providing gram positive, gram negative, aerobic and anaerobic coverage (Table 5).
- 359 Dogs with non-critical illness that do not respond to first line antimicrobials and supportive care should
- also receive this protocol.
- 361 Conditional recommendation, very low-certainty evidence/expert opinion
- 362 Level of agreement 100%
- 363 Rationale for recommendation 6
- 364 *Evidence of therapeutic effect*

365 We did not identify any randomized controlled trials comparing treatment with different antimicrobials in 366 dogs with acute diarrhoea and severe disease. Some low certainty evidence can be derived from a retrospective study of dogs with acute haemorrhagic diarrhoea syndrome (AHDS) in which a proportion 367 of dogs were treated with antimicrobials, the majority with intravenous ampicillin, and the prognosis was 368 369 favourable (Dupont et al., 2021). However, dogs included in that study were not classified into moderate 370 and severe disease and likely represented a mix of severities. The most severely ill dogs were treated with 371 a four-quadrant protocol, for the most part consisting of ampicillin and a fluoroquinolone. Indirect 372 evidence of the effect of amoxicillin clavulanic acid can be derived from the network meta-analysis 373 performed to compare the effect of metronidazole and amoxicillin clavulanic acid in dogs with mild and 374 moderate disease, in which no difference in efficacy was found (Scahill et al., 2024). However, as dogs 375 with mild and moderate disease have no benefit of treatment with antimicrobials, the value of this 376 evidence in dogs with severe disease is limited. Overall, the certainty of evidence informing the

recommendation on choice of treatment is very low and the recommendation is mainly based on theopinion and experience of the panel members.

#### 379 The balance between desirable and undesirable effects

380 In dogs with severe disease the purpose of antimicrobial administration is prevention or treatment of

381 bacterial translocation, bacteremia or sepsis, and treatment is aimed at achieving efficient systemic

382 concentrations. Parenteral administration is therefore preferred over oral therapy. De-escalation to an oral

equivalent can be performed once there is confidence that an oral antimicrobial will be properly absorbed.

384 When balancing benefits and harms of different antimicrobials the panel has taken into considerations the

limited evidence summarized above, the critical illness of the animal and the risk of developing life

threatening complications of infection as well as the antimicrobial spectrum and the categorisation of

antimicrobials for use in animals from the European Medicines Agency (EMA). EMA categorizes

antimicrobial drugs into four categories from D to A with D being the more prudent group ((EMA),

389 2024).

Based on experience from the North European countries, dogs that are not critically ill (Table 1) may

benefit from treatment with intravenous ampicillin (EMA cat.D) alone, or parenteral administration of

either amoxicillin clavulanic acid (EMA cat. C) or trimethoprim/sulphonamide (EMA cat. C).

For dogs with critical illness immediate administration of antimicrobial therapy with four-quadrant 393 394 coverage is indicated. The suggested drug combinations in Table 5 represent common combinations for 395 treatment of sepsis caused by unknown agents, it is not an exhaustive list of antimicrobial combinations 396 providing four-quadrant coverage. The panel finds that though use of fluoroquinolones (EMA cat. B) 397 should generally be restricted, their use in critically ill dogs with severe disease and acute diarrhea is 398 justified, to provide immediate coverage against gram negative Enterobacterales. Aminoglycosides 399 (gentamycin, amikacin) are EMA category C drugs with a gram negative spectrum similar to 400 fluoroquinolones. There is some concern over nephrotoxicity when aminoglycosides are administered to 401 animals with compromised renal blood flow, limiting their use in dogs with hypovolemia and/or reduced 402 urine production. Aminoglycosides can be administered in dogs once they are euvolemic and have 403 adequate urine production.

## 404 **Recommendation** 7

The duration of antimicrobial treatment is dependent on the treatment response and the panel suggests
daily assessment of animals while hospitalized. Antimicrobial therapy should not extend beyond clinical
resolution. For the majority of dogs, treatment of 3-7 days is likely adequate to obtain clinical resolution.

408 *Conditional recommendation, very low-certainty evidence.* 

409 Level of agreement 100%

410 *Rationale for recommendation 7* 

## 411 Evidence of therapeutic effect

412 There are no studies comparing the effect of short (7 days or less) vs long (greater than 7 days) duration of antimicrobial treatment in dogs with acute diarrhoea. Some low certainty evidence can be derived from 413 a retrospective observational study of hospitalized dogs with AHDS, representing a mix of dogs with 414 moderate and severe disease. Of those dogs treated with antimicrobials, the majority was treated for less 415 416 than 7 days and up to one third of dogs were released from hospital without further antimicrobial treatment (Dupont et al., 2021). Likewise, indirect evidence derived from trials in dogs with moderate 417 418 disease indicates that most dogs are treated for less than seven days, and that clinical resolution of disease 419 occurs prior to cessation of therapy (Scahill et al., 2024). There is currently no consensus on the optimal 420 duration of treatment in dogs with bacteraemia, or in dogs with sepsis. In people there are several RCTs 421 demonstrating that short duration (5-7 days) of antimicrobial therapy is non-inferior to long duration 10-422 14 days) of antimicrobial therapy for gram negative bacteraemia (Runyon et al., 1991; Montravers et al., 423 2018; Tansarli et al., 2019; Yahav et al., 2019). For suspected or established sepsis in people, recent 424 international guidelines from the Society of Critical Care Medicine (Evans et al., 2021) recommend shorter over longer duration antimicrobial therapy, and daily evaluation to decide when to discontinue 425

- 426 antimicrobial therapy.
- 427 The balance between desirable and undesirable effects

428 Antimicrobial use should not be used long beyond clinical resolution to avoid harmful effects of

429 prolonged antimicrobial exposure (Table 4). It is the experience of the panel that most animals with acute

- 430 diarrhoea and severe disease experience resolution of disease well within 7 days of treatment, and thus
- 431 will not require extended treatment.

## 432 Common considerations for recommendations on antimicrobial use in dogs with acute diarrhoea

433 *Feasibility, cost and equity* 

434 Recommendations are feasible and are unlikely to have important impact on equity or costs. There is a

435 cost-saving effect of not prescribing antimicrobials; however, the expenses for antimicrobial therapy vary

436 with the size of the dog and the specific product, in some cases it may constitute a relatively minor part of

the total cost of a veterinary consult. Antimicrobial therapy does not impact duration of hospitalizationand recommendations against antimicrobial therapy will not increase overall costs in hospitalized dogs.

#### 439 *Preferences and values of dog-owners*

440 The panel considered values and preferences of veterinary prescribers and dog-owners when selecting the 441 treatments and outcomes to investigate in the systematic review (Scahill et al., 2024). Our enquiries suggest that owners of dogs with acute diarrhoea have specific expectations for medication and these 442 443 expectations could be used to assess if therapeutic effects were clinically relevant or not (clinical effect 444 thresholds). The panel acknowledges that there may be a preference for antimicrobials when diarrhea is 445 disruptive to the owners (e.g. house soiling, waking up in the night to defecate) and that there may be pressure from owners to take an approach other than watchful waiting. However, the panel believes that 446 447 most dog-owners would wish to avoid the cost and effort of medication if they are made aware that there are no clinically relevant therapeutic effects of treatment, and in particular, if informed of the potential 448 harms of antimicrobial treatment. The panel believe that in the case of dogs with severe disease, most dog 449 owners would prefer antimicrobial treatment if informed of the potential benefits. 450

# 451 *Acceptability and implementation*

452 The panel recognizes that acceptance of a non-antimicrobial treatment strategy may vary among dog owners in the European region. In some regions, guidelines will require a greater effort to implement, and 453 implementation strategies should take into account national values and preferences. Client pressure, 454 455 perceived or true, may pose a barrier to antimicrobial stewardship, and video animations targeting owners 456 can be downloaded, in 12 different languages, from the websites of (ENOVAT, 2024). The short video 457 animation explaining the consequences of unnecessary antimicrobial use has been tested in a population of dog owners in the UK and was found to significantly impact owners' perceptions of antimicrobial use 458 (Wright, 2022) 459

#### 460 Recommendations for future research on antimicrobial use in dogs with acute diarrhea.

The panel considers investigation into non-antimicrobial treatments a priority in dogs with acute diarrhoea and mild or moderate disease. When investigating the effect of a given treatment one should consider not only the effect on the duration of diarrhea, but also the risk of disease progression. This risk is a concern of dog-owners and practitioners that was not addressed in earlier studies. Antimicrobial trials are relevant in dogs with severe disease and should aim to elucidate optimal choice of drug and duration of treatment. Other knowledge gaps to fill include long-term consequences of antimicrobial use and diagnostic markers to identify dogs that will benefit from antimicrobial treatment.

469	Table 4. Harmful effects of commonly used antimicrobials in dogs with acute diarrhea

Harm	Description
Adverse	Adverse effects of antimicrobial therapy has been investigated in healthy dogs receiving
effects	metronidazole. The most common adverse effects were hyporexia, vomiting and diarrhea.
	Diarrhea was reported in 56% to 100% of healthy dogs following administration of
	metronidazole alone (Pilla et al., 2020) or in combination with enrofloxaxin (Whittemore et al.,
	2019).
Dysbiosis	Antibiotics can lead to an alteration of the intestinal microbiota and metabolites. The severity
	depends on the type of antibiotic, the duration of the application, and individual factors. These
	changes can persist for months to years, depending on the antibiotic used and the species.
	Several studies in healthy dogs found that the commonly used antibiotics for canine diarrhea,
	tylosin and metronidazole, resulted in dysbiosis, which was present in some dogs even weeks
	after therapy. Moreover, typical for these antibiotics was a severe reduction in the number
	of <i>Clostridium hiranonis</i> (Manchester et al., 2019; Pilla et al., 2020), a bacterium that is thought
	to play a role in maintaining a healthy intestinal metabolism in dogs .Similarly, dysbiosis
	associated with metronidazole treatment in dogs with acute diarrhea was recently documented
	(Rudinsky et al., 2022). The alterations of the canine intestinal microbiota induced by
	amoxicillin or amoxicillin clavulanic acid seem to be fewer and less long lived (Gronvold et
	al., 2010; Espinosa-Gongora et al., 2020), and a recent study in dogs with acute diarrhea could
	not document dysbiosis using the PCR based dysbiosis index (Werner et al., 2020). Alterations
	found in other populations of dogs include reductions in microbial richness and diversity during
	treatment. In addition, the abundance of beneficial taxa is reduced by addition of clavulanic acid
	(Espinosa-Gongora et al., 2020), suggesting that clavulanic acid may broaden the impact of
	amoxicillin on the gut microbiota, with potential negative consequences on gut health.
Antimicrobial	Selection of antimicrobial resistant bacteria is a well-documented effect of antimicrobial therapy
resistance	in humans and animals, and has been documented in various populations of dogs ((Damborg et
	al., 2011; Espinosa-Gongora et al., 2020). In dogs with acute diarrhea, selection for
	antimicrobial resistance has been investigated in dogs receiving amoxicillin-clavulanic acid
	(Werner et al., 2020). Treatment with amoxicillin-clavulanic acid favored development of
	amoxicillin-resistant E. coli, which increased from 0.2% before antibiotic administration to
	100% during antibiotic administration. Three weeks after discontinuation of the antibiotic, the

	percentage of amoxicillin-resistant E. coli was still significantly higher (10%) than in the control
	group (0.1%).

470

- 471 Table 5. Antimicrobial combinations with four-quadrant spectrum (aerobic, anaerobic, gram positive and
- 472 gram negative spectrum).

Gram positives		Gram negative Aerobes	Comments
aerobes and	+		
Anaerobes			
Aminopenicillin (e.g.		Fluoroquinolone* EMA B	*avoid in young growing animals
amoxicillin EMA D,		or	** nephrotoxicity, avoid in dogs
ampicillin EMA D)		Aminoglycoside** EMA C	with compromised renal function
or		(gentamycin, amikacin)	or reduced renal blood flow
Clindamycin EMA C			(hypovolemia).

473 Each drug is assigned a category from the European Medical Agency (EMA): category D = Prudence,

474 category C = Caution, category B = Restrict, category A = Avoid.

475

# 476 Recommendations on probiotic use in dogs with acute diarrhoea

477 Recommendation 8

478 In dogs with acute diarrhoea, we do not recommend either for or against use of probiotics.

- 479 *Level of agreement 100%*
- 480 *Rationale for recommendations 8*
- 481 *Evidence of therapeutic effect*

A recent systematic review conducted by this group did not identify a clinically relevant effect associated 482 with probiotic administration in dogs with acute diarrhoea (Scahill et al., 2024). The systematic review 483 484 included four trials of probiotic administration in dogs with acute diarrhoea, all prospective, randomized, and controlled (Herstad et al., 2010; Gomez-Gallego et al., 2016; Ziese et al., 2018; Shmalberg et al., 2019). 485 The total number of dogs assessed was 149, all privately owned dogs (probiotic group = 75; placebo = 74) 486 487 presenting for spontaneous idiopathic acute diarrhoea. Only one study (Shmalberg et al., 2019) showed a small beneficial effect of probiotics on the duration of diarrhoea (shortening of the duration of diarrhea by 488 489 >1 day), the effect in the other three trials was trivial, as was the effect when looking at all studies combined (reduction of diarrhoea by 0.68 days). There were no clinical adverse effects or mortality reported in any 490 491 of the studies. Two studies showed a shift in the microbiome towards the microbiome of healthy animals

in the dogs receiving probiotic (Gomez-Gallego et al., 2016; Ziese et al., 2018). Risk of bias was generally
low, and the certainty of the combined evidence considered moderate.

## 494 The balance between desirable and undesirable effects

There are two major considerations leading to the panel making a non-recommendation (neither for nor 495 496 against). The first consideration concerns the diversity of probiotic products. Probiotics are highly diverse 497 and the biological effects are considered to be dependent not only on the specific strains, but also on the 498 dose (McFarland et al., 2018). It is unclear how the results of the systematic review apply to other probiotic 499 organisms, combinations or doses, limiting the relevance of a general recommendation for or against all 500 probiotics. The second consideration concerns the trade-offs that are closely balanced. While we could not 501 document a clinically relevant effect of treatment in dogs with acute diarrhoea, probiotics did result in what was assumed to be improvements in the microbiota in two studies. However, understanding of what 502 503 constitutes clinically relevant beneficial changes in the gut microbiota is still limited. Probiotics are considered safe in veterinary medicine. When practitioners and dog owners were questioned, we identified 504 a clear preference for probiotic prescribing among veterinary practitioners and a high degree of acceptance 505 506 among dog owners. However, the cost of the product, which can be considerable, and the stress of 507 medication, may not be justified. In conclusion, the panel decided not to make any recommendation 508 concerning probiotics at present, and the use of probiotics in dogs with acute diarrhoea remains a matter of preference for the attending clinician and client. 509

510

# 511 Diagnostic work up in dogs with acute diarrhoea.

512 The following considerations on diagnostic work-up represent the professional opinion of the panel. The 513 panel has not conducted systematic reviews to inform the statements included in this section, and the 514 guidance provided is ungraded.

515

# 516 Complete blood count (CBC) and Biochemistry

517 CBC and biochemistry are indicated in dogs with acute diarrhoea and moderate or severe disease. In dogs
518 with azotemia, measurement of urine specific gravity is indicated to distinguish prerenal from renal
519 causes of azotemia.

520 Rationale

521 Dogs presenting with acute diarrhoea and mild disease often have self-limiting disease and do not warrant

- 522 extensive work up (Hubbard et al., 2007; Schwartz and Newman, 2013; Berset-Istratescu et al., 2014).
- 523 For dogs presenting with depressed mental status and systemic response to disease (moderate and/or
- severe disease), a minimum database including CBC and biochemistry will help detect signs of
- 525 overwhelming inflammation (severe neutrophilia, neutropenia, degenerative left shift) and/or bacterial
- sepsis (hypoglycaemia, hyperbilirubinemia), which may influence the decision to treat with an
- antimicrobial (Purvis and Kirby, 1994; Hauptman et al., 1997). CBC and biochemistry will help assess
- 528 the degree of dehydration (hemoconcentration, relative hyperproteinemia, prerenal azotæmia) and detect
- 529 electrolyte abnormalities which may influence the amount, type and rate of fluid therapy. Lastly, it will
- help rule out obvious metabolic or endocrine causes of acute diarrhoea.

#### 531 C-reactive protein (CRP)

532 CRP may be considered in dogs with moderate or severe disease to help assess the degree of systemic

533 inflammation and monitor disease progression/regression.

#### 534 Rationale

In dogs presenting with depressed mental status and systemic response to disease, CRP may be helpful to 535 536 monitor disease progression in the individual dog. It is uncertain if CRP at admission can be used for 537 antimicrobial therapy decision making, or to what extent it offers additional information compared to the clinical assessment. CRP has been investigated in dogs with moderate and severe disease, more 538 539 specifically in dogs with parvovirus enteritis and in dogs with AHDS (McClure et al., 2013; Dupont et al., 540 2021; Sanger et al., 2022). In dogs with AHDS, CRP correlates with clinical and laboratory scoring systems (Dupont et al., 2021; Sanger et al., 2022), and concentrations decrease gradually with disease 541 542 regression (Sanger et al., 2022), indicating CRP might be useful as a monitoring tool. However, its benefit over routine clinical monitoring remains unclear. The correlation with antimicrobial therapy has not been 543 544 established, and CRP did not correlate with antimicrobial therapy in a recent, prospective study in dogs 545 with AHDS (Sanger et al., 2022). In another retrospective study of dogs with AHDS (Dupont et al., 546 2021), CRP at admission was higher in dogs that received antimicrobials compared to those receiving 547 supportive care alone. However, a causal relationship could not be established due to the retrospective 548 nature of the study, and CRP might have influenced the choice of treatment. Also, values were 549 overlapping and high CRP concentrations were also found in dogs that did not receive antimicrobials. 550 CRP did correlate to prognosis in puppies with parvovirus enteritis (McClure et al., 2013), but this was 551 not found in dogs with AHDS (Dupont et al., 2021).

#### 552 Testing for hypoadrenocorticism

- 553 Testing for hypoadrenocorticism (e.g., adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) stimulation test/basal
- cortisol) is indicated in dogs with acute diarrhoea presenting with either
- depression/weakness/lethargy/collapse, and/or a history of recurrent episodes of acute diarrhoea, and/or
- presence of laboratory abnormalities compatible with hypoadrenocorticism (Hanson et al., 2016).

# 557 *Rationale*

- 558 The clinical picture of hypoadrenocorticism ranges from mild disease to severe life-threatening vascular
- collapse. In dogs with acute diarrhoea and mild disease a history of waxing and waning and recurrent
- 560 episodes may justify further work up. Typical abnormalities include hyponatemia, hyperkalemia,
- 561 hypercalcemia, hypoglycemia, azotemia with concurrent inability to produce concentrated urine, reverse
- stress leukogram, lymphocytosis, eosinophilia and hypocholesterolemia (Kintzer and Peterson, 1997).
- 563 One should keep in mind that dogs with atypical Addison's disease do not have electrolyte abnormalities.
- 564 (Hauck et al., 2020). The CBC abnormalities are due to cortisol deficiency and can be found in animals
- with both typical and atypical hypoadrenocorticism. An ACTH stimulation test may be preceded by basal
- 566 cortisol measurement, as values greater than 55 mmol/L (2 mcg/dL) can be used to rule out
- 567 hypoadrenocorticism (Lennon et al., 2007; Bovens et al., 2014). Dogs with values below 55 mmol/L
- should undergo ACTH stimulation testing to rule the disease in or out.

#### 569 **Diagnostic Imaging**

- 570 Diagnostic imaging (ultrasound/radiology) of the gastrointestinal tract is not routinely indicated in dogs
- 571 with acute diarrhoea. It should be considered in dogs with concomitant, non-transient, vomiting and in
- 572 dogs with marked or progressive abdominal pain or distension.

# 573 *Rationale*

- 574 Diagnostic imaging is generally unrewarding in dogs with diarrhoea. It is mainly relevant in dogs with 575 acute diarrhoea when gastrointestinal obstruction and/or involvement of other organ systems, such as 576 pancreatitis, is suspected (Finck et al., 2014; Mapletoft et al., 2018; Holzmann et al., 2023).
- 577

# 578 **Testing for Parvovirus**

- 579 Testing for canine parvovirus (CPV) enteritis (e.g., Point of Care ELISA, PCR) is indicated in young
- dogs (< 6 months of age) with acute diarrhoea; in young dogs (<12 months of age) with acute
- 581 hemorrhagic diarrhoea; in unvaccinated/inadequately vaccinated dogs of any age, and should be
- 582 considered in dogs with neutropenia. CPV testing is also indicated whenever there is an outbreak of

583 diarrhoea in a group of (unvaccinated/inadequately vaccinated) dogs. Given the lower sensitivity of the

584 POC test for parvovirus, in cases of a negative result coupled with a strong clinical suspicion, it is

advisable to perform a confirmatory PCR test.

# 586 *Rationale*

Commonly, CPV infects 4–12-week-old puppies that are prone to acquiring the virus in concomitance with waning maternally derived antibodies. Adults are thought to be less prone to CPV infection due to the age-reduced susceptibility and presence of specific immunity induced by vaccination or previous (often subclinical) infections. There are some reports of the occurrence of parvovirosis in adult dogs, but they are rare (Cavalli et al., 2001, Decaro et al., 2008a, Decaro et al., 2009a). The most characteristic clinical form induced by CPV is represented by hemorrhagic enteritis. Leukopenia is a consistent finding, with white blood cell (WBC) counts dropping below 2000–3000 cells/ $\mu$ L (2.0-3.0 x 10<sup>9</sup> cells/L) of blood

594 (Cavalli et al., 2001, Decaro et al., 2008a, Decaro et al., 2009a).

# 595 Testing for bacterial enteropathogens

596 Testing for bacterial enteropathogens is not routinely recommended in dogs with acute diarrhoea. Faecal

testing can potentially be indicated in dogs with severe disease that are of increased risk of pathogen

transmission (e.g., fed a raw diet) or when several individuals in a household, including dog owners, or in

599 the local region show similar clinical signs. Testing for *Clostridium difficile* (PCR combined with ELISA

for toxin A/B), *Campylobacter jejuni/coli* (PCR or culture), and *Salmonella* spp. (PCR or culture) could

601 be considered in these cases. However, antimicrobial treatment is not recommended beyond the resolution

of clinical signs even when test results prove positive for enteropathogens. Bacterial culture of blood,

abdominal fluid or lymph node aspirates should be considered if sepsis or bacteraemia/bacterial

604 translocation is suspected.

## 605 Rationale

Canine acute diarrhoea is self-limiting, and faecal testing is thus unlikely to change treatment
recommendations (Cave et al., 2002). Dogs that are fed a raw diet are at increased risk of transmitting
antimicrobial resistant bacteria, as well as *Salmonella* spp and *Campylobacter* spp (Viegas et al., 2020).
Testing in these animals can be considered to elucidate the zoonotic risk, a positive test result is not an
indication to treat with antimicrobials. *Clostridium perfringens* is part of the microbiota in healthy dogs
(Werner et al., 2021), and although strains encoding for NetF-toxin might play a role in acute
haemorrhagic diarrhoea syndrome, testing is still not recommended since a positive result does not

613 change treatment recommendations (Sindern et al., 2019). If testing for *C. difficile* is performed, an

- 614 ELISA for toxin A/B should be included as this could be part of the aetiology in a small number of
- 615 individuals (Rainha et al., 2022). Haemolytic *E. coli* is found in the gastrointestinal microbiota in healthy
- dogs, as well as in dogs with diarrhoea, and testing is not recommended (Werner et al., 2021).

# 617 Fecal flotation and testing for *Giardia*

- 618 Testing for *Giardia* should be considered in young dogs with acute diarrhoea and is particularly indicated
- 619 in those with non-self-limiting or relapsing disease.

# 620 Rationale

- 621 Protozoa or parasites are infrequently the primary cause of diarrhoea and might be a coincidental finding
- 622 with a prevalence of 7-17 % (Drake et al., 2022) in healthy dogs and somewhat higher prevalence in
- hunting or shelter dogs (Uiterwijk et al., 2019). Most cases are not associated with clinical signs.
- 624 Nevertheless, parasites are thought to lower the threshold for diarrhoea caused by other factors and are
- typically treated in dogs with clinical disease. qPCR is by far the most sensitive test for Giardia detection
- and might be useful to assess the zoonotic risk, but may not reflect a clinically relevant protozoal load
- 627 causing diarrhea (passage of ingested cysts through the intestine). Fecal antigen testing and fecal flotation
- are probably the most widely used tests in veterinary practice for *Giardia* detection. Antigen testing is
- more sensitive than fecal flotation (Uiterwijk et al., 2018) and the combined use of both methods
- 630 improves detection (Drake et al., 2022).
- 631

# 632 References

- (EMA), E.M.A., 2024. Categorisation of antibiotics used in animals promotes responsible use to protect
   public and animal health. <u>https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/news/categorisation-antibiotics-</u>
   <u>used-animals-promotes-responsible-use-protect-public-and-animal-health</u> (Accessed 23.
   February, 2024).
- 637
- Alonso-Coello, P., Oxman, A.D., Moberg, J., Brignardello-Petersen, R., Akl, E.A., Davoli, M., Treweek, S.,
  Mustafa, R.A., Vandvik, P.O., Meerpohl, J., et al., 2016. GRADE Evidence to Decision (EtD)
  frameworks: a systematic and transparent approach to making well informed healthcare
  choices. 2: Clinical practice guidelines. BMJ 353, i2089.
- 642
- Berset-Istratescu, C.M., Glardon, O.J., Magouras, I., Frey, C.F., Gobeli, S., Burgener, I.A., 2014. Follow-up
  of 100 dogs with acute diarrhoea in a primary care practice. Vet J 199, 188-190.

645

Bovens, C., Tennant, K., Reeve, J., Murphy, K.F., 2014. Basal serum cortisol concentration as a screening
 test for hypoadrenocorticism in dogs. J Vet Intern Med 28, 1541-1545.

648 649 650	Cave, N.J., Marks, S.L., Kass, P.H., Melli, A.C., Brophy, M.A., 2002. Evaluation of a routine diagnostic fecal panel for dogs with diarrhea. J Am Vet Med Assoc 221, 52-59.
651 652 653	Damborg, P., Gaustad, I.B., Olsen, J.E., Guardabassi, L., 2011. Selection of CMY-2 producing Escherichia coli in the faecal flora of dogs treated with cephalexin. Vet Microbiol 151, 404-408.
654 655 656 657	De Briyne, N., Atkinson, J., Pokludova, L., Borriello, S.P., Price, S., 2013. Factors influencing antibiotic prescribing habits and use of sensitivity testing amongst veterinarians in Europe. Vet Rec 173, 475.
658 659 660 661	Drake, J., Sweet, S., Baxendale, K., Hegarty, E., Horr, S., Friis, H., Goddu, T., Ryan, W.G., von Samson- Himmelstjerna, G., 2022. Detection of Giardia and helminths in Western Europe at local K9 (canine) sites (DOGWALKS Study). Parasit Vectors 15, 311.
662 663 664 665	Dupont, N., Jessen, L.R., Moberg, F., Zyskind, N., Lorentzen, C., Bjornvad, C.R., 2021. A retrospective study of 237 dogs hospitalized with suspected acute hemorrhagic diarrhea syndrome: Disease severity, treatment, and outcome. J Vet Intern Med 35, 867-877.
666 667 668	ENOVAT, 2024. The European Network for Optimization of Antimicrobial Therapy. <u>https://enovat.eu/</u> (Accessed 23. february, 2024).
669 670 671 672 673	Espinosa-Gongora, C., Jessen, L.R., Kieler, I.N., Damborg, P., Bjornvad, C.R., Gudeta, D.D., Pires Dos Santos, T., Sablier-Gallis, F., Sayah-Jeanne, S., Corbel, T., et al., 2020. Impact of oral amoxicillin and amoxicillin/clavulanic acid treatment on bacterial diversity and beta-lactam resistance in the canine faecal microbiota. J Antimicrob Chemother 75, 351-361.
674 675 676 677	Evans, L., Rhodes, A., Alhazzani, W., Antonelli, M., Coopersmith, C.M., French, C., Machado, F.R., McIntyre, L., Ostermann, M., Prescott, H.C., et al., 2021. Surviving sepsis campaign: international guidelines for management of sepsis and septic shock 2021. Intensive Care Med 47, 1181-1247.
678 679 680 681	Finck, C., D'Anjou, M.A., Alexander, K., Specchi, S., Beauchamp, G., 2014. Radiographic diagnosis of mechanical obstruction in dogs based on relative small intestinal external diameters. Vet Radiol Ultrasound 55, 472-479.
682 683 684 685	Gomez-Gallego, C., Junnila, J., Mannikko, S., Hameenoja, P., Valtonen, E., Salminen, S., Beasley, S., 2016. A canine-specific probiotic product in treating acute or intermittent diarrhea in dogs: A double- blind placebo-controlled efficacy study. Vet Microbiol 197, 122-128.
686 687 688	Grock, A., Jordan, J., Zaver, F., Colmers-Gray, I.N., Krishnan, K., Chan, T., Thoma, B., Alexander, C., Alkhalifah, M., Almehlisi, A.S., et al., 2021. The revised Approved Instructional Resources score:

689 690	An improved quality evaluation tool for online educational resources. AEM Educ Train 5, e10601.
691 692 693	Gronvold, A.M., L'Abee-Lund, T.M., Sorum, H., Skancke, E., Yannarell, A.C., Mackie, R.I., 2010. Changes in fecal microbiota of healthy dogs administered amoxicillin. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 71, 313-326.
694 695 696 697	Guyatt, G.H., Oxman, A.D., Vist, G.E., Kunz, R., Falck-Ytter, Y., Alonso-Coello, P., Schunemann, H.J., Group, G.W., 2008. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. BMJ 336, 924-926.
698 699 700 701	Hanson, J.M., Tengvall, K., Bonnett, B.N., Hedhammar, A., 2016. Naturally Occurring Adrenocortical InsufficiencyAn Epidemiological Study Based on a Swedish-Insured Dog Population of 525,028 Dogs. J Vet Intern Med 30, 76-84.
702 703 704 705	<ul> <li>Hauck, C., Schmitz, S.S., Burgener, I.A., Wehner, A., Neiger, R., Kohn, B., Rieker, T., Reese, S., Unterer, S., 2020. Prevalence and characterization of hypoadrenocorticism in dogs with signs of chronic gastrointestinal disease: A multicenter study. J Vet Intern Med 34, 1399-1405.</li> </ul>
706 707 708	Hauptman, J.G., Walshaw, R., Olivier, N.B., 1997. Evaluation of the sensitivity and specificity of diagnostic criteria for sepsis in dogs. Vet Surg 26, 393-397.
709 710 711 712	Herstad, H.K., Nesheim, B.B., L'Abee-Lund, T., Larsen, S., Skancke, E., 2010. Effects of a probiotic intervention in acute canine gastroenteritisa controlled clinical trial. J Small Anim Pract 51, 34-38.
713 714 715	Holzmann, B., Werner, M., Unterer, S., Dorfelt, R., 2023. Utility of diagnostic tests in vomiting dogs presented to an internal medicine emergency service. Front Vet Sci 10, 1063080.
716 717 718	Hubbard, K., Skelly, B.J., McKelvie, J., Wood, J.L., 2007. Risk of vomiting and diarrhoea in dogs. Vet Rec 161, 755-757.
719 720 721	Israeloff, J.V. 2009. Vergleich von Therapieformen der idiopathischen hämorrhagischen Gastroenteritis (HGE) beim Hund Dissertation, PhD, Veterinärmedizinischen Universität Wien
722 723 724 725	Jones, P.H., Dawson, S., Gaskell, R.M., Coyne, K.P., Tierney, A., Setzkorn, C., Radford, A.D., Noble, P.J., 2014. Surveillance of diarrhoea in small animal practice through the Small Animal Veterinary Surveillance Network (SAVSNET). Vet J 201, 412-418.
726 727 728	Kintzer, P.P., Peterson, M.E., 1997. Primary and secondary canine hypoadrenocorticism. Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract 27, 349-357.
729	

<ul> <li>Lennon, E.M., Boyle, T.E., Hutchins, R.G., Friedenthal, A., Correa, M.T., Bissett, S.A., Moses, L.S., Papich, M.G., Birkenheuer, A.J., 2007. Use of basal serum or plasma cortisol concentrations to rule out a diagnosis of hypoadrenocorticism in dogs: 123 cases (2000-2005). J Am Vet Med Assoc 231, 413- 416.</li> <li>Lutz, B., Lehner, C., Schmitt, K., Willi, B., Schupbach, G., Mevissen, M., Peter, R., Muntener, C., Naegeli, H., Schuller, S., 2020. Antimicrobial prescriptions and adherence to prudent use guidelines for selected canine diseases in Switzerland in 2016. Vet Rec Open 7, e000370.</li> <li>Manchester, A.C., Webb, C.B., Blake, A.B., Sarwar, F., Lidbury, J.A., Steiner, J.M., Suchodolski, J.S., 2019. Long-term impact of tylosin on fecal microbiota and fecal bile acids of healthy dogs. J Vet Intern Med 33, 2605-2617.</li> <li>Mapletoft, E.K., Allenspach, K., Lamb, C.R., 2018. How useful is abdominal ultrasonography in dogs with diarrhoea? J Small Anim Pract 59, 32-37.</li> <li>McClure, V., van Schoor, M., Thompson, P.N., Kjelgaard-Hansen, M., Goddard, A., 2013. Evaluation of the use of serum C-reactive protein concentration to predict outcome in puppies infected with canine parvovirus. J Am Vet Med Assoc 243, 361-366.</li> <li>Mortravers, P., Tubach, F., Lescot, T., Veber, B., Esposito-Farese, M., Seguin, P., Paugam, C., Lepape, A., Meistelman, C., Cousson, J., et al., 2018. Short-course antibiotic therapy for critically ill patients treated for postoperative intra-abdominal infection: the DURAPOP randomised clinical trial. Intensive Care Med 44, 300-310.</li> <li>Mortier, F., Strohmeyer, K., Hartmann, K., Unterer, S., 2015. Acute haemorrhagic diarrhoea syndrome in dogs: 108 cases. Vet Rec 176, 627.</li> <li>Pilla, R., Gaschen, F.P., Barr, J.W., Olson, E., Honneffer, J., Guard, B.C., Blake, A.B., Villanueva, D., Khattab, M.R., AlShawaqfeh, M.K., et al., 2020. Effects of metronidazole on the fecal microbiome and metabolome in healthy dogs. J Vet Intern Med 34, 1853-186</li></ul>	730 731	Langlois, D.K., Koenigshof, A.M., Mani, R., 2020. Metronidazole treatment of acute diarrhea in dogs: A randomized double blinded placebo-controlled clinical trial. J Vet Intern Med 34, 98-104.
<ul> <li>Lutz, B., Lehner, C., Schmitt, K., Willi, B., Schupbach, G., Mevissen, M., Peter, R., Muntener, C., Naegeli, H., Schuller, S., 2020. Antimicrobial prescriptions and adherence to prudent use guidelines for selected canine diseases in Switzerland in 2016. Vet Rec Open 7, e000370.</li> <li>Manchester, A.C., Webb, C.B., Blake, A.B., Sarwar, F., Lidbury, J.A., Steiner, J.M., Suchodolski, J.S., 2019. Long-term impact of tylosin on fecal microbiota and fecal bile acids of healthy dogs. J Vet Intern Med 33, 2605-2617.</li> <li>Mapletoft, E.K., Allenspach, K., Lamb, C.R., 2018. How useful is abdominal ultrasonography in dogs with diarrhoea? J Small Anim Pract 59, 32-37.</li> <li>McClure, V., van Schoor, M., Thompson, P.N., Kjelgaard-Hansen, M., Goddard, A., 2013. Evaluation of the use of serum C-reactive protein concentration to predict outcome in puppies infected with canine parvovirus. J Am Vet Med Assoc 243, 361-366.</li> <li>McFarland, L.V., Evans, C.T., Goldstein, E.J.C., 2018. Strain-Specificity and Disease-Specificity of Probiotic Efficacy: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Front Med (Lausanne) 5, 124.</li> <li>Montravers, P., Tubach, F., Lescot, T., Veber, B., Esposito-Farese, M., Seguin, P., Paugam, C., Lepape, A., Meistelman, C., Cousson, J., et al., 2018. Short-course antibiotic therapy for critically ill patients treated for postoperative intra-abdominal infection: the DURAPOP randomised clinical trial. Intensive Care Med 44, 300-310.</li> <li>Mortier, F., Strohmeyer, K., Hartmann, K., Unterer, S., 2015. Acute haemorrhagic diarrhoea syndrome in dogs: 108 cases. Vet Rec 176, 627.</li> <li>Pilla, R., Gaschen, F.P., Barr, J.W., Olson, E., Honneffer, J., Guard, B.C., Blake, A.B., Villanueva, D., Khatab, M.R., AlShawaqfeh, M.K., et al., 2020. Effects of metronidazole on the fecal microbiome and metabolome in healthy dogs. J Vet Intern Med 34, 1853-1866.</li> <li>Purvis, D., Kirby, R., 1994. Systemic inflammatory response syndrome: septic shock. Vet Clin North Am S</li></ul>	732 733 734 735 736	Lennon, E.M., Boyle, T.E., Hutchins, R.G., Friedenthal, A., Correa, M.T., Bissett, S.A., Moses, L.S., Papich, M.G., Birkenheuer, A.J., 2007. Use of basal serum or plasma cortisol concentrations to rule out a diagnosis of hypoadrenocorticism in dogs: 123 cases (2000-2005). J Am Vet Med Assoc 231, 413- 416.
<ul> <li>Manchester, A.C., Webb, C.B., Blake, A.B., Sarwar, F., Lidbury, J.A., Steiner, J.M., Suchodolski, J.S., 2019. Long-term impact of tylosin on fecal microbiota and fecal bile acids of healthy dogs. J Vet Intern Med 33, 2605-2617.</li> <li>Mapletoft, E.K., Allenspach, K., Lamb, C.R., 2018. How useful is abdominal ultrasonography in dogs with diarrhoea? J Small Anim Pract 59, 32-37.</li> <li>McClure, V., van Schoor, M., Thompson, P.N., Kjelgaard-Hansen, M., Goddard, A., 2013. Evaluation of the use of serum C-reactive protein concentration to predict outcome in puppies infected with canine parvovirus. J Am Vet Med Assoc 243, 361-366.</li> <li>McFarland, L.V., Evans, C.T., Goldstein, E.J.C., 2018. Strain-Specificity and Disease-Specificity of Probiotic Efficacy: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Front Med (Lausanne) 5, 124.</li> <li>Montravers, P., Tubach, F., Lescot, T., Veber, B., Esposito-Farese, M., Seguin, P., Paugam, C., Lepape, A., Meistelman, C., Cousson, J., et al., 2018. Short-course antibiotic therapy for critically ill patients treated for postoperative intra-abdominal infection: the DURAPOP randomised clinical trial. Intensive Care Med 44, 300-310.</li> <li>Mortier, F., Strohmeyer, K., Hartmann, K., Unterer, S., 2015. Acute haemorrhagic diarrhoea syndrome in dogs: 108 cases. Vet Rec 176, 627.</li> <li>Pilla, R., Gaschen, F.P., Barr, J.W., Olson, E., Honneffer, J., Guard, B.C., Blake, A.B., Villanueva, D., Khattab, M.R., AlShawaqfeh, M.K., et al., 2020. Effects of metronidazole on the fecal microbiome and metabolome in healthy dogs. J Vet Intern Med 34, 1853-1866.</li> <li>Purvis, D., Kirby, R., 1994. Systemic inflammatory response syndrome: septic shock. Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract 24, 1225-1247.</li> </ul>	737 738 739 740	Lutz, B., Lehner, C., Schmitt, K., Willi, B., Schupbach, G., Mevissen, M., Peter, R., Muntener, C., Naegeli, H., Schuller, S., 2020. Antimicrobial prescriptions and adherence to prudent use guidelines for selected canine diseases in Switzerland in 2016. Vet Rec Open 7, e000370.
<ul> <li>Mapletoft, E.K., Allenspach, K., Lamb, C.R., 2018. How useful is abdominal ultrasonography in dogs with diarrhoea? J Small Anim Pract 59, 32-37.</li> <li>McClure, V., van Schoor, M., Thompson, P.N., Kjelgaard-Hansen, M., Goddard, A., 2013. Evaluation of the use of serum C-reactive protein concentration to predict outcome in puppies infected with canine parvovirus. J Am Vet Med Assoc 243, 361-366.</li> <li>McFarland, L.V., Evans, C.T., Goldstein, E.J.C., 2018. Strain-Specificity and Disease-Specificity of Probiotic Efficacy: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Front Med (Lausanne) 5, 124.</li> <li>Montravers, P., Tubach, F., Lescot, T., Veber, B., Esposito-Farese, M., Seguin, P., Paugam, C., Lepape, A., Meistelman, C., Cousson, J., et al., 2018. Short-course antibiotic therapy for critically ill patients treated for postoperative intra-abdominal infection: the DURAPOP randomised clinical trial. Intensive Care Med 44, 300-310.</li> <li>Mortier, F., Strohmeyer, K., Hartmann, K., Unterer, S., 2015. Acute haemorrhagic diarrhoea syndrome in dogs: 108 cases. Vet Rec 176, 627.</li> <li>Pilla, R., Gaschen, F.P., Barr, J.W., Olson, E., Honneffer, J., Guard, B.C., Blake, A.B., Villanueva, D., Khattab, M.R., AlShawaqfeh, M.K., et al., 2020. Effects of metronidazole on the fecal microbiome and metabolome in healthy dogs. J Vet Intern Med 34, 1853-1866.</li> <li>Purvis, D., Kirby, R., 1994. Systemic inflammatory response syndrome: septic shock. Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract 24, 1225-1247.</li> </ul>	741 742 743 744	Manchester, A.C., Webb, C.B., Blake, A.B., Sarwar, F., Lidbury, J.A., Steiner, J.M., Suchodolski, J.S., 2019. Long-term impact of tylosin on fecal microbiota and fecal bile acids of healthy dogs. J Vet Intern Med 33, 2605-2617.
<ul> <li>McClure, V., van Schoor, M., Thompson, P.N., Kjelgaard-Hansen, M., Goddard, A., 2013. Evaluation of the use of serum C-reactive protein concentration to predict outcome in puppies infected with canine parvovirus. J Am Vet Med Assoc 243, 361-366.</li> <li>McFarland, L.V., Evans, C.T., Goldstein, E.J.C., 2018. Strain-Specificity and Disease-Specificity of Probiotic Efficacy: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Front Med (Lausanne) 5, 124.</li> <li>Montravers, P., Tubach, F., Lescot, T., Veber, B., Esposito-Farese, M., Seguin, P., Paugam, C., Lepape, A., Meistelman, C., Cousson, J., et al., 2018. Short-course antibiotic therapy for critically ill patients treated for postoperative intra-abdominal infection: the DURAPOP randomised clinical trial. Intensive Care Med 44, 300-310.</li> <li>Mortier, F., Strohmeyer, K., Hartmann, K., Unterer, S., 2015. Acute haemorrhagic diarrhoea syndrome in dogs: 108 cases. Vet Rec 176, 627.</li> <li>Pilla, R., Gaschen, F.P., Barr, J.W., Olson, E., Honneffer, J., Guard, B.C., Blake, A.B., Villanueva, D., Khattab, M.R., AlShawaqfeh, M.K., et al., 2020. Effects of metronidazole on the fecal microbiome and metabolome in healthy dogs. J Vet Intern Med 34, 1853-1866.</li> <li>Purvis, D., Kirby, R., 1994. Systemic inflammatory response syndrome: septic shock. Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract 24, 1225-1247.</li> </ul>	745 746 747	Mapletoft, E.K., Allenspach, K., Lamb, C.R., 2018. How useful is abdominal ultrasonography in dogs with diarrhoea? J Small Anim Pract 59, 32-37.
<ul> <li>McFarland, L.V., Evans, C.T., Goldstein, E.J.C., 2018. Strain-Specificity and Disease-Specificity of Probiotic Efficacy: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Front Med (Lausanne) 5, 124.</li> <li>Montravers, P., Tubach, F., Lescot, T., Veber, B., Esposito-Farese, M., Seguin, P., Paugam, C., Lepape, A., Meistelman, C., Cousson, J., et al., 2018. Short-course antibiotic therapy for critically ill patients treated for postoperative intra-abdominal infection: the DURAPOP randomised clinical trial. Intensive Care Med 44, 300-310.</li> <li>Mortier, F., Strohmeyer, K., Hartmann, K., Unterer, S., 2015. Acute haemorrhagic diarrhoea syndrome in dogs: 108 cases. Vet Rec 176, 627.</li> <li>Pilla, R., Gaschen, F.P., Barr, J.W., Olson, E., Honneffer, J., Guard, B.C., Blake, A.B., Villanueva, D., Khattab, M.R., AlShawaqfeh, M.K., et al., 2020. Effects of metronidazole on the fecal microbiome and metabolome in healthy dogs. J Vet Intern Med 34, 1853-1866.</li> <li>Purvis, D., Kirby, R., 1994. Systemic inflammatory response syndrome: septic shock. Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract 24, 1225-1247.</li> </ul>	748 749 750 751	McClure, V., van Schoor, M., Thompson, P.N., Kjelgaard-Hansen, M., Goddard, A., 2013. Evaluation of the use of serum C-reactive protein concentration to predict outcome in puppies infected with canine parvovirus. J Am Vet Med Assoc 243, 361-366.
<ul> <li>Montravers, P., Tubach, F., Lescot, T., Veber, B., Esposito-Farese, M., Seguin, P., Paugam, C., Lepape, A., Meistelman, C., Cousson, J., et al., 2018. Short-course antibiotic therapy for critically ill patients treated for postoperative intra-abdominal infection: the DURAPOP randomised clinical trial. Intensive Care Med 44, 300-310.</li> <li>Mortier, F., Strohmeyer, K., Hartmann, K., Unterer, S., 2015. Acute haemorrhagic diarrhoea syndrome in dogs: 108 cases. Vet Rec 176, 627.</li> <li>Pilla, R., Gaschen, F.P., Barr, J.W., Olson, E., Honneffer, J., Guard, B.C., Blake, A.B., Villanueva, D., Khattab, M.R., AlShawaqfeh, M.K., et al., 2020. Effects of metronidazole on the fecal microbiome and metabolome in healthy dogs. J Vet Intern Med 34, 1853-1866.</li> <li>Purvis, D., Kirby, R., 1994. Systemic inflammatory response syndrome: septic shock. Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract 24, 1225-1247.</li> </ul>	752 753 754	McFarland, L.V., Evans, C.T., Goldstein, E.J.C., 2018. Strain-Specificity and Disease-Specificity of Probiotic Efficacy: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Front Med (Lausanne) 5, 124.
<ul> <li>Mortier, F., Strohmeyer, K., Hartmann, K., Unterer, S., 2015. Acute haemorrhagic diarrhoea syndrome in dogs: 108 cases. Vet Rec 176, 627.</li> <li>Pilla, R., Gaschen, F.P., Barr, J.W., Olson, E., Honneffer, J., Guard, B.C., Blake, A.B., Villanueva, D., Khattab, M.R., AlShawaqfeh, M.K., et al., 2020. Effects of metronidazole on the fecal microbiome and metabolome in healthy dogs. J Vet Intern Med 34, 1853-1866.</li> <li>Purvis, D., Kirby, R., 1994. Systemic inflammatory response syndrome: septic shock. Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract 24, 1225-1247.</li> </ul>	755 756 757 758 759	Montravers, P., Tubach, F., Lescot, T., Veber, B., Esposito-Farese, M., Seguin, P., Paugam, C., Lepape, A., Meistelman, C., Cousson, J., et al., 2018. Short-course antibiotic therapy for critically ill patients treated for postoperative intra-abdominal infection: the DURAPOP randomised clinical trial. Intensive Care Med 44, 300-310.
<ul> <li>Pilla, R., Gaschen, F.P., Barr, J.W., Olson, E., Honneffer, J., Guard, B.C., Blake, A.B., Villanueva, D., Khattab, M.R., AlShawaqfeh, M.K., et al., 2020. Effects of metronidazole on the fecal microbiome and metabolome in healthy dogs. J Vet Intern Med 34, 1853-1866.</li> <li>Purvis, D., Kirby, R., 1994. Systemic inflammatory response syndrome: septic shock. Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract 24, 1225-1247.</li> </ul>	760 761 762	Mortier, F., Strohmeyer, K., Hartmann, K., Unterer, S., 2015. Acute haemorrhagic diarrhoea syndrome in dogs: 108 cases. Vet Rec 176, 627.
<ul> <li>Purvis, D., Kirby, R., 1994. Systemic inflammatory response syndrome: septic shock. Vet Clin North Am</li> <li>Small Anim Pract 24, 1225-1247.</li> </ul>	763 764 765 766	Pilla, R., Gaschen, F.P., Barr, J.W., Olson, E., Honneffer, J., Guard, B.C., Blake, A.B., Villanueva, D., Khattab, M.R., AlShawaqfeh, M.K., et al., 2020. Effects of metronidazole on the fecal microbiome and metabolome in healthy dogs. J Vet Intern Med 34, 1853-1866.
	767 768 769	Purvis, D., Kirby, R., 1994. Systemic inflammatory response syndrome: septic shock. Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract 24, 1225-1247.

771 772 773	Rainha, K., Lins, D., Ferreira, R.F., Costa, C.L., Penna, B., Endres, B.T., Garey, K.W., Domingues, R., Ferreira, E.O., 2022. Colitis caused by Clostridioides difficile infection in a domestic dog: A case report. Anaerobe 73, 102511.
774 775 776 777 778	Rudinsky, A.J., Parker, V.J., Winston, J., Cooper, E., Mathie, T., Howard, J.P., Bremer, C.A., Yaxley, P., Marsh, A., Laxalde, J., et al., 2022. Randomized controlled trial demonstrates nutritional management is superior to metronidazole for treatment of acute colitis in dogs. J Am Vet Med Assoc 260, S23-S32.
779 780 781 782	Runyon, B.A., McHutchison, J.G., Antillon, M.R., Akriviadis, E.A., Montano, A.A., 1991. Short-course versus long-course antibiotic treatment of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis. A randomized controlled study of 100 patients. Gastroenterology 100, 1737-1742.
783 784 785 786	Sanger, F., Unterer, S., Werner, M., Dorfelt, R., 2022. C-reactive protein as a tool for monitoring response to treatment in dogs with acute hemorrhagic diarrhea syndrome. Front Vet Sci 9, 1019700.
787 788 789 790 791	Scahill, K., Jessen, L.R., Prior, C., Singleton, D., Foroutan, F., Ferran, A.A., Arenas, C., Bjornvad, C.R., Lavy, E., Allerton, F., et al., 2024. Efficacy of antimicrobial and nutraceutical treatment for canine acute diarrhoea: A systematic review and meta-analysis for European Network for Optimization of Antimicrobial Therapy (ENOVAT) guidelines. Vet J 303, 106054.
792 793	Schwartz, S., Newman, B., 2013. Vomiting and diarrhoea in dogs. Vet Rec 172, 136.
794 795 796 797	Shmalberg, J., Montalbano, C., Morelli, G., Buckley, G.J., 2019. A Randomized Double Blinded Placebo- Controlled Clinical Trial of a Probiotic or Metronidazole for Acute Canine Diarrhea. Front Vet Sci 6, 163.
798 799 800 801 802	Sindern, N., Suchodolski, J.S., Leutenegger, C.M., Mehdizadeh Gohari, I., Prescott, J.F., Proksch, A.L., Mueller, R.S., Busch, K., Unterer, S., 2019. Prevalence of Clostridium perfringens netE and netF toxin genes in the feces of dogs with acute hemorrhagic diarrhea syndrome. J Vet Intern Med 33, 100-105.
803 804 805 806	Singleton, D.A., Noble, P.J.M., Sanchez-Vizcaino, F., Dawson, S., Pinchbeck, G.L., Williams, N.J., Radford, A.D., Jones, P.H., 2019. Pharmaceutical Prescription in Canine Acute Diarrhoea: A Longitudinal Electronic Health Record Analysis of First Opinion Veterinary Practices. Front Vet Sci 6, 218.
807 808 809 810	Tansarli, G.S., Andreatos, N., Pliakos, E.E., Mylonakis, E., 2019. A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Antibiotic Treatment Duration for Bacteremia Due to Enterobacteriaceae. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 63.
811	

812 813 814	Uiterwijk, M., Nijsse, R., Kooyman, F.N.J., Wagenaar, J.A., Mughini-Gras, L., Koop, G., Ploeger, H.W., 2018. Comparing four diagnostic tests for Giardia duodenalis in dogs using latent class analysis. Parasit Vectors 11, 439.
815 816 817 818	Uiterwijk, M., Nijsse, R., Kooyman, F.N.J., Wagenaar, J.A., Mughini-Gras, L., Ploeger, H.W., 2019. Host factors associated with Giardia duodenalis infection in dogs across multiple diagnostic tests. Parasit Vectors 12, 556.
819 820 821 822	Unterer, S., Strohmeyer, K., Kruse, B.D., Sauter-Louis, C., Hartmann, K., 2011. Treatment of aseptic dogs with hemorrhagic gastroenteritis with amoxicillin/clavulanic acid: a prospective blinded study. J Vet Intern Med 25, 973-979.
823 824 825 826 827	Viegas, F.M., Ramos, C.P., Xavier, R.G.C., Lopes, E.O., Junior, C.A.O., Bagno, R.M., Diniz, A.N., Lobato, F.C.F., Silva, R.O.S., 2020. Fecal shedding of Salmonella spp., Clostridium perfringens, and Clostridioides difficile in dogs fed raw meat-based diets in Brazil and their owners' motivation. PLoS One 15, e0231275.
828 829 830	Werner, M., Suchodolski, J.S., Lidbury, J.A., Steiner, J.M., Hartmann, K., Unterer, S., 2021. Diagnostic value of fecal cultures in dogs with chronic diarrhea. J Vet Intern Med 35, 199-208.
831 832 833 834 835	Werner, M., Suchodolski, J.S., Straubinger, R.K., Wolf, G., Steiner, J.M., Lidbury, J.A., Neuerer, F., Hartmann, K., Unterer, S., 2020. Effect of amoxicillin-clavulanic acid on clinical scores, intestinal microbiome, and amoxicillin-resistant Escherichia coli in dogs with uncomplicated acute diarrhea. J Vet Intern Med 34, 1166-1176.
836 837 838 839	Whittemore, J.C., Moyers, T.D., Price, J.M., 2019. Randomized, controlled, crossover trial of prevention of antibiotic-induced gastrointestinal signs using a synbiotic mixture in healthy research dogs. J Vet Intern Med 33, 1619-1626.
840 841 842	WHO, 2024. World Health Organization. <u>https://www.who.int/health-topics/antimicrobial-</u> <u>resistance2024</u> ).
843 844 845 846 847 848	<ul> <li>Wright, E., Pfleger, S., Gajanayake, I., Gemmill, T., Jessen, Lisbeth Rem, Sørensen, Tina Møller, Battersby,</li> <li>I., West, E., Atkinson, L., Mosher, M., Rutland, C., Singleton, D., Tompson, A. &amp; Allerton, F., 2022.</li> <li>The impact of a short, animated film on owner attitudes towardsantimicrobial use in companion animals–a randomised controlled trial., In: Journal of veterinary internal medicine / American College of Veterinary Internal Medicine. 36, 6, s. 2502 1 s.</li> </ul>
849	
850 851 852	Yahav, D., Franceschini, E., Koppel, F., Turjeman, A., Babich, T., Bitterman, R., Neuberger, A., Ghanem- Zoubi, N., Santoro, A., Eliakim-Raz, N., et al., 2019. Seven Versus 14 Days of Antibiotic Therapy

for Uncomplicated Gram-negative Bacteremia: A Noninferiority Randomized Controlled Trial.
Clin Infect Dis 69, 1091-1098.

# 855

- Zeng, L., Brignardello-Petersen, R., Hultcrantz, M., Mustafa, R.A., Murad, M.H., Iorio, A., Traversy, G.,
   Akl, E.A., Mayer, M., Schunemann, H.J., et al., 2022. GRADE Guidance 34: update on rating
   imprecision using a minimally contextualized approach. J Clin Epidemiol 150, 216-224.
- 859
- Ziese, A.L., Suchodolski, J.S., Hartmann, K., Busch, K., Anderson, A., Sarwar, F., Sindern, N., Unterer, S.,
   2018. Effect of probiotic treatment on the clinical course, intestinal microbiome, and toxigenic
   Clostridium perfringens in dogs with acute hemorrhagic diarrhea. PLoS One 13, e0204691.
- 863
- 864